A. 25 (A)

Highly Confidential

	Page 1
1	M. Shapiro
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	X
5	In Re:
6	Chapter 11
7	LEHMAN BROTHERS Case No. 08-13555(JMP)
8	HOLDINGS, INC., et al., (Jointly Administered)
9	
	Debtors.
10	
	X
11	
12	* * *HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL* * *
13	DEPOSITION OF MARK J. SHAPIRO
14	New York, New York
15	August 7, 2009
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported by:
24	KATHY S. KLEPFER, RMR, RPR, CRR, CLR
25	JOB NO. 24036

Highly Confidential	Highly Confidential
Page 2	Page 3
1 M. Shapiro	1 M. Shapiro
2 August 7, 2009	2
3 9:30 a.m.	3 APPEARANCES:
5 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL deposition	5 JONES DAY, LLP
6 of MARK J. SHAPIRO, held at Jones	6 Attorneys for Lehman Brothers, Inc.
Day, LLP, 222 East 41st Street, LLP,	7 222 East 41st Street
New York, New York, before Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Professional	8 New York, New York 10017-6702 9 BY: DAVID L. CARDEN, ESO.
Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter,	9 BY: DAVID L. CARDEN, ESQ. 10 KELLY A. CARRERO, ESQ.
11 Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified	11 JENNIFER DEL MEDICO, ESQ.
12 Livenote Reporter, and Notary Public	12
of the State of New York.	13 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER, LLP 14 Attorneys for Barclays and the Witness
15	14 Attorneys for Barclays and the Witness 15 575 Lexington Avenue - 7th Floor
16	16 New York, New York 10022
17	17 BY: JACK G. STERN, ESQ.
18	18 19
20	20
21	21
22	22
23 24	23
25	25
TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
Highly Confidential	Highly Confidential
Page 4	Page 5
1 M. Shapiro	1 M. Shapiro
2 APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.) 3	2 MARK J. SHAPIRO, called as a witness, having been duly sworn by a Notary
4 QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART, OLIVER & HEDGES, LL	;
5 Attorneys for the Creditors Committee	5 follows:
6 865 Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 90017	6 EXAMINATION BY
8 BY: ERICA P. TAGGART, ESQ.	7 MR. CARDEN: 8 Q. Good morning, Mr. Shapiro.
9	9 A. Good morning.
10 JENNER & BLOCK, LLC 11 Attorneys for the Examiner	10 Q. My name is David Carden. I represent
11 Attorneys for the Examiner 12 330 N. Wabash Avenue	the Lehman estate as their special counsel and my colleagues with me here are Jen Del Medico
13 Chicago, Illinois 60611-7603	13 and Kelly Carrero. And I think we'll go around
14 BY: DAVID C. LAYDEN, ESQ.	14 the table and identify ourselves for your
15 16 HUGHES, HUBBARD & REED, LLP	benefit, although I think you'll probably know several of these people.
17 Attorneys for the SIPA Trustee	MR. WOOD: I'm John Wood from Hughes,
18 1775 I Street, N.W.	18 Hubbard & Reed, and we represent the Trustee
19 Washington, DC 20006-2401	under the Security Investors Protection Act.
20 BY: JOHN F. WOOD, ESQ. 21 SAMUEL C. McCOUBREY, ESQ.	MR. McCOUBREY: I'm Sam McCoubrey, also from Hughes, Hubbard & Reed, and we
22	22 represent the Trustee.
23 Also Present:	MS. TAGGART: Erica Taggart with Quinn
24 THOMAS E. HOMMEL, Lehman Brothers	Emanuel for the Committee.
24 THOMAS E. HOMMEL, Lehman Brothers 25 PHILIP E. KRUSE, Alvarez & Marsal TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	

r			
	Highly Confidential		Highly Confidential
	Page 6	Marcol (1990)	Page 7
1	M. Shapiro	7	
2	Brothers.	1 2	M. Shapiro So, is that fair enough?
3	MR. KRUSE: Philip Kruse with Alvarez	3	MR. STERN: Yes. And I also think we
4	& Marsal.	4	had a general agreement with Mr. Gaffey
5	MR. LAYDEN: David Layden, Jennifer &	5	yesterday concerning our treatment of
6	Block, on behalf of the Examiner.	6	confidentiality designations and that that
7	MR. STERN: Jack Stern, Boies,	7	would be done as it was done for Mr.
8	Schiller & Flexner, for Barclays Capital and	8	Felder's deposition.
9	the witness.	9	MR. CARDEN: Okay.
10	MR. CARDEN: I hate housekeeping	10	BY MR. CARDEN:
12	matters since I don't typically do well with them, but last time you and I spoke, Jack,	12	Q. Would you please state your name, Mr. Shapiro?
13	we decided that we would do exhibits in	13	A. Mark J. Shapiro.
14	chunks like this and I'm told this is not	14	Q. By whom are you presently employed?
15	the way we decided to go, in our my	15	A. Barclays Capital.
16	absence, anyway.	16	Q. And what's your position there?
17	So what I want to do today is try to	17	A. I am the head of Restructuring and
18	use the exhibits that have previously been	18	Finance within the Investment Banking Division.
19	marked, to the extent possible, obviously,	19	Q. Okay. And just generally what does
20	and if not, I'm going to put an A on the end	20	that entail?
22	of any exhibits that I use so that we know that the deposition that is occurring right	21 22	A. It entails running a group of 16 bankers that are dedicated to working on matters
23	here is different than the one that is	23	that involve stress and distressed companies,
24	occurring next door of one of your	24	either working for companies, working for
25	colleagues.	25	creditors, and sometimes financing companies
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
		1	
***************************************	Highly Confidential		Highly Confidential
	TOTAL CONTROL OF COLUMN AND COLUMN AND COLUMN AND A SERVICE AND ADDRESS AND AD	2000 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2	Highly Confidential Page 9
1	Highly Confidential Page 8 M. Shapiro	1	Page 9 M. Shapiro
2	Highly Confidential Page 8 M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy.	2	Page 9 M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers.
2 3	Highly Confidential Page 8 M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer,	2 3	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your
2 3 4	Highly Confidential Page 8 M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not?	2 3 4	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the
2 3 4 5	Highly Confidential Page 8 M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer.	2 3 4 5	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays?
2 3 4 5 6	Highly Confidential Page 8 M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer. Q. Well, once a lawyer, always lawyer,	2 3 4 5 6	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays? A. Essentially the same. We were more, a
2 3 4 5	Highly Confidential Page 8 M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer.	2 3 4 5	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Highly Confidential Page 8 M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer. Q. Well, once a lawyer, always lawyer, don't you think? A. I don't think I have to comment on that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays? A. Essentially the same. We were more, a little bit more focused on the advisory practice and less focused on financing, now we're a little more balanced, as we went through Lehman,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Highly Confidential M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer. Q. Well, once a lawyer, always lawyer, don't you think? A. I don't think I have to comment on that. Q. What you're saying is you're not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays? A. Essentially the same. We were more, a little bit more focused on the advisory practice and less focused on financing, now we're a little more balanced, as we went through Lehman, if you're talking about 2002 versus later, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Highly Confidential M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer. Q. Well, once a lawyer, always lawyer, don't you think? A. I don't think I have to comment on that. Q. What you're saying is you're not practicing law now?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays? A. Essentially the same. We were more, a little bit more focused on the advisory practice and less focused on financing, now we're a little more balanced, as we went through Lehman, if you're talking about 2002 versus later, I think that was your question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Highly Confidential M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer. Q. Well, once a lawyer, always lawyer, don't you think? A. I don't think I have to comment on that. Q. What you're saying is you're not practicing law now? A. I'm not practicing and I don't have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays? A. Essentially the same. We were more, a little bit more focused on the advisory practice and less focused on financing, now we're a little more balanced, as we went through Lehman, if you're talking about 2002 versus later, I think that was your question Q. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Highly Confidential M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer. Q. Well, once a lawyer, always lawyer, don't you think? A. I don't think I have to comment on that. Q. What you're saying is you're not practicing law now? A. I'm not practicing and I don't have a license to practice.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays? A. Essentially the same. We were more, a little bit more focused on the advisory practice and less focused on financing, now we're a little more balanced, as we went through Lehman, if you're talking about 2002 versus later, I think that was your question Q. Yes. A as of the date we started.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Highly Confidential M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer. Q. Well, once a lawyer, always lawyer, don't you think? A. I don't think I have to comment on that. Q. What you're saying is you're not practicing law now? A. I'm not practicing and I don't have a license to practice. Q. But you do have a law degree, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays? A. Essentially the same. We were more, a little bit more focused on the advisory practice and less focused on financing, now we're a little more balanced, as we went through Lehman, if you're talking about 2002 versus later, I think that was your question Q. Yes. A as of the date we started. Q. Okay. And did your position remain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Highly Confidential M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer. Q. Well, once a lawyer, always lawyer, don't you think? A. I don't think I have to comment on that. Q. What you're saying is you're not practicing law now? A. I'm not practicing and I don't have a license to practice. Q. But you do have a law degree, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays? A. Essentially the same. We were more, a little bit more focused on the advisory practice and less focused on financing, now we're a little more balanced, as we went through Lehman, if you're talking about 2002 versus later, I think that was your question Q. Yes. A as of the date we started.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Highly Confidential M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer. Q. Well, once a lawyer, always lawyer, don't you think? A. I don't think I have to comment on that. Q. What you're saying is you're not practicing law now? A. I'm not practicing and I don't have a license to practice. Q. But you do have a law degree, correct? A. I graduated from law school, yes. Q. And in the past you were a practicing lawyer, were you not?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays? A. Essentially the same. We were more, a little bit more focused on the advisory practice and less focused on financing, now we're a little more balanced, as we went through Lehman, if you're talking about 2002 versus later, I think that was your question Q. Yes. A as of the date we started. Q. Okay. And did your position remain the same throughout your entire tenure at Lehman Brothers? A. It changed in I guess it was spring or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Highly Confidential M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer. Q. Well, once a lawyer, always lawyer, don't you think? A. I don't think I have to comment on that. Q. What you're saying is you're not practicing law now? A. I'm not practicing and I don't have a license to practice. Q. But you do have a law degree, correct? A. I graduated from law school, yes. Q. And in the past you were a practicing lawyer, were you not? A. Correct. I was a partner at Shearman	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays? A. Essentially the same. We were more, a little bit more focused on the advisory practice and less focused on financing, now we're a little more balanced, as we went through Lehman, if you're talking about 2002 versus later, I think that was your question Q. Yes. A as of the date we started. Q. Okay. And did your position remain the same throughout your entire tenure at Lehman Brothers? A. It changed in I guess it was spring or summer spring of 2008, something like that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Highly Confidential M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer. Q. Well, once a lawyer, always lawyer, don't you think? A. I don't think I have to comment on that. Q. What you're saying is you're not practicing law now? A. I'm not practicing and I don't have a license to practice. Q. But you do have a law degree, correct? A. I graduated from law school, yes. Q. And in the past you were a practicing lawyer, were you not? A. Correct. I was a partner at Shearman & Sterling.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays? A. Essentially the same. We were more, a little bit more focused on the advisory practice and less focused on financing, now we're a little more balanced, as we went through Lehman, if you're talking about 2002 versus later, I think that was your question Q. Yes. A as of the date we started. Q. Okay. And did your position remain the same throughout your entire tenure at Lehman Brothers? A. It changed in I guess it was spring or summer spring of 2008, something like that. I can't remember exactly when. It was decided
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Highly Confidential M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer. Q. Well, once a lawyer, always lawyer, don't you think? A. I don't think I have to comment on that. Q. What you're saying is you're not practicing law now? A. I'm not practicing and I don't have a license to practice. Q. But you do have a law degree, correct? A. I graduated from law school, yes. Q. And in the past you were a practicing lawyer, were you not? A. Correct. I was a partner at Shearman & Sterling. Q. At some point you were employed by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays? A. Essentially the same. We were more, a little bit more focused on the advisory practice and less focused on financing, now we're a little more balanced, as we went through Lehman, if you're talking about 2002 versus later, I think that was your question Q. Yes. A as of the date we started. Q. Okay. And did your position remain the same throughout your entire tenure at Lehman Brothers? A. It changed in I guess it was spring or summer spring of 2008, something like that. I can't remember exactly when. It was decided within the firm that it made sense to spend more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer. Q. Well, once a lawyer, always lawyer, don't you think? A. I don't think I have to comment on that. Q. What you're saying is you're not practicing law now? A. I'm not practicing and I don't have a license to practice. Q. But you do have a law degree, correct? A. I graduated from law school, yes. Q. And in the past you were a practicing lawyer, were you not? A. Correct. I was a partner at Shearman & Sterling. Q. At some point you were employed by Lehman Brothers, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays? A. Essentially the same. We were more, a little bit more focused on the advisory practice and less focused on financing, now we're a little more balanced, as we went through Lehman, if you're talking about 2002 versus later, I think that was your question Q. Yes. A as of the date we started. Q. Okay. And did your position remain the same throughout your entire tenure at Lehman Brothers? A. It changed in I guess it was spring or summer spring of 2008, something like that. I can't remember exactly when. It was decided within the firm that it made sense to spend more time on financing than we had before, and in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer. Q. Well, once a lawyer, always lawyer, don't you think? A. I don't think I have to comment on that. Q. What you're saying is you're not practicing law now? A. I'm not practicing and I don't have a license to practice. Q. But you do have a law degree, correct? A. I graduated from law school, yes. Q. And in the past you were a practicing lawyer, were you not? A. Correct. I was a partner at Shearman & Sterling. Q. At some point you were employed by Lehman Brothers, correct? A. Starting in September of 2002.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays? A. Essentially the same. We were more, a little bit more focused on the advisory practice and less focused on financing, now we're a little more balanced, as we went through Lehman, if you're talking about 2002 versus later, I think that was your question Q. Yes. A as of the date we started. Q. Okay. And did your position remain the same throughout your entire tenure at Lehman Brothers? A. It changed in I guess it was spring or summer spring of 2008, something like that. I can't remember exactly when. It was decided within the firm that it made sense to spend more time on financing than we had before, and in order to effectuate that, we basically created a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer. Q. Well, once a lawyer, always lawyer, don't you think? A. I don't think I have to comment on that. Q. What you're saying is you're not practicing law now? A. I'm not practicing and I don't have a license to practice. Q. But you do have a law degree, correct? A. I graduated from law school, yes. Q. And in the past you were a practicing lawyer, were you not? A. Correct. I was a partner at Shearman & Sterling. Q. At some point you were employed by Lehman Brothers, correct? A. Starting in September of 2002. Q. What was your position when you first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays? A. Essentially the same. We were more, a little bit more focused on the advisory practice and less focused on financing, now we're a little more balanced, as we went through Lehman, if you're talking about 2002 versus later, I think that was your question Q. Yes. A as of the date we started. Q. Okay. And did your position remain the same throughout your entire tenure at Lehman Brothers? A. It changed in I guess it was spring or summer spring of 2008, something like that. I can't remember exactly when. It was decided within the firm that it made sense to spend more time on financing than we had before, and in order to effectuate that, we basically created a joint venture between Investment Banking and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. Shapiro that are either in or out of bankruptcy. Q. Stating the obvious, you're a lawyer, are you not? A. I was a lawyer. Q. Well, once a lawyer, always lawyer, don't you think? A. I don't think I have to comment on that. Q. What you're saying is you're not practicing law now? A. I'm not practicing and I don't have a license to practice. Q. But you do have a law degree, correct? A. I graduated from law school, yes. Q. And in the past you were a practicing lawyer, were you not? A. Correct. I was a partner at Shearman & Sterling. Q. At some point you were employed by Lehman Brothers, correct? A. Starting in September of 2002.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. Shapiro Investment Banking in Lehman Brothers. Q. And what generally were your responsibilities in that position? Are they the same as they presently are with Barclays? A. Essentially the same. We were more, a little bit more focused on the advisory practice and less focused on financing, now we're a little more balanced, as we went through Lehman, if you're talking about 2002 versus later, I think that was your question Q. Yes. A as of the date we started. Q. Okay. And did your position remain the same throughout your entire tenure at Lehman Brothers? A. It changed in I guess it was spring or summer spring of 2008, something like that. I can't remember exactly when. It was decided within the firm that it made sense to spend more time on financing than we had before, and in order to effectuate that, we basically created a

Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 10 Page 11 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro 2 of that, I was asked to head the whole thing and 2 A. Yeah, well, when we say report, this 3 so my position went from co-head to head of the 3 was a new thing, so it was a joint venture 4 between the two divisions. I was running the group. 5 Q. When did that happen? 5 joint venture. Jim was on the fixed income 6 6 A. I can't remember exactly. I think it side, so he was responsible from fixed income 7 7 was the spring of -- spring of '08, but I don't for that and, effectively, you know, I wouldn't 8 remember exactly. 8 say I was reporting to him in the sense of, you 9 Q. And to whom did you report in that 9 know, he never obviously gave me reviews or 10 position? 10 comp, because what happened was that that all 11 A. So I reported to two different people 11 really came to fruition, as I said, 12 within the firm, Mark Shafir, who was the head 12 spring/summer. of M&A from the banking side and Paul Parker, 13 Obviously it was a tough general who was his co-head. I mean, if you're talking summer overall. There wasn't a lot of 14 financings going on in, you know, within Lehman 15 about at the end versus along the way --15 16 16 in terms of the distressed area that I was in Q. Yes. 17 A. Because obviously things changed. 17 until call it towards the end of the summer when we worked on Tronox. So it was all kind of 18 18 Q. As fascinating as that would be for 19 both of us to go through, my focus is really 19 information. 20 going to be obviously at the end. 20 So when you say reporting, there 21 21 wasn't a whole lot to report to. A. And then on the fixed income side, it was -- it was Jim Seery, who ran loan sales and 22 Q. Now, in your position as head of 23 trading for fixed income. 23 Restructuring at Lehman, did you become 24 Q. I'm sorry, I just missed the import of 24 acquainted with how the business sides really 25 that. Did you report to him on that side or -function, by that I mean how they ran a matched TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 12 Page 13 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro 1 1 2 book, how they did their business? 2 a matched book, I guess that would maybe 3 3 constitute a matched book, but it's not a term I A. No, I would say that, you know, on the 4 investment -- you know, the firm is set up 4 use frequently. 5 5 within three divisions: Investment Banking, Q. Okay. But in using that term in the 6 6 way you defined it, you are generally acquainted Fixed Income and Equities. So if you're on the 7 7 banking side, you really are not part -with how a matched book works, correct? 8 A. Just to the extent I just described 8 participating in any way on the trading side 9 9 it. where they're dealing with things around how 10 they -- how they buy, sell or finance assets. 10 Q. Okay. All right. And as a 11 So not really. 11 consequence of your position at Lehman, did you 12 Q. And you did not become acquainted even 12 become acquainted with the fact that, on the 13 in the most general sense as to how they did 13 trading side, Lehman ran a matched book? 14 their business as a consequence of the position 14 A. Not really. you had at Lehman? 15 O. Not news to you today, though, right? 15 Today I wouldn't say it's news to me, 16 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 16 but I would say during my, you know, seven years 17 17 A. Yeah, I'm not sure I understand your at Lehman, I really didn't give thought to how 18 question. 18 Lehman financed itself. 19 Q. Do you understand what a matched book 19 20 is? 20 Q. Okay. Now I brought a prop today, 21 A. If you're talking about where a party 21 which is a calendar from September of 2008, 22 purchases an asset and then finances that asset 22 which I brought to the last deposition and I was

23

24

25

23

with liability that they, you know, borrowed

25 book, or a series of those assets together being

money, if that's what you would call a matched

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

responsible for. This is something to which you

can refer if you wish. I don't think we're

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

going to mark it. Time is what it is and

Γ		1	THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT
	Highly Confidential		Highly Confidential
	Page 14		Page 15
1	M. Shapiro	1	M. Shapiro
2	everybody knows exactly what days of the week	2	personally involved in any of the discussions,
3	we're going to be referring to.	3	negotiations, or anything else relating to a
4	But what I would like to do is direct	4	sale.
5	your attention to the week of September 8 last	5	Q. Where were you the weekend of
6	fall.	6	September 13?
7	A. Uh-huh.	7	A. I was in the offices principally at
8	Q. And at some point during that week	8	Lehman Brothers.
9	Lehman Brothers entered into negotiations with B	3	Q. Okay. What were you doing in the
10	of A with regard to the sale of the firm,	10	offices that weekend?
11	correct?	11	A. Preparing for a bankruptcy.
12	A. I don't know.	12	Q. And were you working with outside
13 14	Q. Okay. Were you involved with any	13	lawyers with regard to the possible filing?
15	negotiations concerning a sale of all or part of	14	A. Yes. The firm had hired I believe
16	the firm during the week of September 8? A. No.	15	it was Steven Berkenfeld that hired Weil Gotshal.
17	Q. Were you involved with negotiations	17	
18	concerning a sale of all or part of the firm on	18	Q. All right. Were you at any point in time, from during the week of September 8 and
19	the weekend of well, I don't know what you	19	the weekend of September 13, in conversations
20	call a weekend, but September 13 and 14?	20	with anyone at Lehman Brothers concerning the
21	A. No.	21	terms of any deal that might be done with Bank
22	Q. Did there	22	of America?
23	A. Other than, other than by the other	23	A. Not the terms, no. I was aware
24	than by the end of Sunday night when I made a	24	starting on the Thursday the 11th that
25	suggestion on something, but not I was not	25	discussions were going on between and I think
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	TV-1.1- C . C . 1		она н <u>ь</u>
			III -1.1 C C -1 1 - 1
	Highly Confidential	***************************************	Highly Confidential
	Page 16	ANN THE COLUMN THE COL	Highly Confidential Page 17
1	Page 16 M. Shapiro		Page 17 M. Shapiro
2	Page 16 M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at	2	Page 17 M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I
2	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there	2 3	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but
2 3 4	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of	2 3 4	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation.
2 3 4 5	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays	2 3 4 5	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was	2 3 4 5 6	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a	2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that
2 3 4 5 6	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a big involvement in it, and that's pretty much	2 3 4 5 6	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that the firm could sell itself to Barclays if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a big involvement in it, and that's pretty much what I knew as of the, you know, 11th, 12th.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that the firm could sell itself to Barclays if Barclays was interested in purchasing Lehman in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a big involvement in it, and that's pretty much what I knew as of the, you know, 11th, 12th. And then there was obviously a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that the firm could sell itself to Barclays if Barclays was interested in purchasing Lehman in a Chapter 11 asset sale and was Barclays
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a big involvement in it, and that's pretty much what I knew as of the, you know, 11th, 12th. And then there was obviously a lot of discussion within Lehman Brothers as to what was going on down at the Fed that I heard, you know, heard snippets of. But I was not personally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that the firm could sell itself to Barclays if Barclays was interested in purchasing Lehman in a Chapter 11 asset sale and was Barclays interested in doing that. And that was probably about a five-minute conversation, to which I only heard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a big involvement in it, and that's pretty much what I knew as of the, you know, 11th, 12th. And then there was obviously a lot of discussion within Lehman Brothers as to what was going on down at the Fed that I heard, you know, heard snippets of. But I was not personally directly in discussions with anybody about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that the firm could sell itself to Barclays if Barclays was interested in purchasing Lehman in a Chapter 11 asset sale and was Barclays interested in doing that. And that was probably about a five-minute conversation, to which I only heard Bart's side of the conversation, and he got off
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a big involvement in it, and that's pretty much what I knew as of the, you know, 11th, 12th. And then there was obviously a lot of discussion within Lehman Brothers as to what was going on down at the Fed that I heard, you know, heard snippets of. But I was not personally directly in discussions with anybody about it. Q. And were you in discussions with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that the firm could sell itself to Barclays if Barclays was interested in purchasing Lehman in a Chapter 11 asset sale and was Barclays interested in doing that. And that was probably about a five-minute conversation, to which I only heard Bart's side of the conversation, and he got off the phone and he said he's going to call us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a big involvement in it, and that's pretty much what I knew as of the, you know, 11th, 12th. And then there was obviously a lot of discussion within Lehman Brothers as to what was going on down at the Fed that I heard, you know, heard snippets of. But I was not personally directly in discussions with anybody about it. Q. And were you in discussions with anyone at Barclays during the week of September	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that the firm could sell itself to Barclays if Barclays was interested in purchasing Lehman in a Chapter 11 asset sale and was Barclays interested in doing that. And that was probably about a five-minute conversation, to which I only heard Bart's side of the conversation, and he got off the phone and he said he's going to call us back. He said he needs to call somebody and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a big involvement in it, and that's pretty much what I knew as of the, you know, 11th, 12th. And then there was obviously a lot of discussion within Lehman Brothers as to what was going on down at the Fed that I heard, you know, heard snippets of. But I was not personally directly in discussions with anybody about it. Q. And were you in discussions with anyone at Barclays during the week of September 8th or the weekend of September 13th concerning	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that the firm could sell itself to Barclays if Barclays was interested in purchasing Lehman in a Chapter 11 asset sale and was Barclays interested in doing that. And that was probably about a five-minute conversation, to which I only heard Bart's side of the conversation, and he got off the phone and he said he's going to call us back. He said he needs to call somebody and he's going to call us back in a few minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a big involvement in it, and that's pretty much what I knew as of the, you know, 11th, 12th. And then there was obviously a lot of discussion within Lehman Brothers as to what was going on down at the Fed that I heard, you know, heard snippets of. But I was not personally directly in discussions with anybody about it. Q. And were you in discussions with anyone at Barclays during the week of September 8th or the weekend of September 13th concerning a possible transaction with Lehman Brothers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that the firm could sell itself to Barclays if Barclays was interested in purchasing Lehman in a Chapter 11 asset sale and was Barclays interested in doing that. And that was probably about a five-minute conversation, to which I only heard Bart's side of the conversation, and he got off the phone and he said he's going to call us back. He said he needs to call somebody and he's going to call us back in a few minutes. Q. Let's just go a little slower through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a big involvement in it, and that's pretty much what I knew as of the, you know, 11th, 12th. And then there was obviously a lot of discussion within Lehman Brothers as to what was going on down at the Fed that I heard, you know, heard snippets of. But I was not personally directly in discussions with anybody about it. Q. And were you in discussions with anyone at Barclays during the week of September 8th or the weekend of September 13th concerning a possible transaction with Lehman Brothers? A. No, the only the only discussion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that the firm could sell itself to Barclays if Barclays was interested in purchasing Lehman in a Chapter 11 asset sale and was Barclays interested in doing that. And that was probably about a five-minute conversation, to which I only heard Bart's side of the conversation, and he got off the phone and he said he's going to call us back. He said he needs to call somebody and he's going to call us back in a few minutes. Q. Let's just go a little slower through this conversation so I understand what it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a big involvement in it, and that's pretty much what I knew as of the, you know, 11th, 12th. And then there was obviously a lot of discussion within Lehman Brothers as to what was going on down at the Fed that I heard, you know, heard snippets of. But I was not personally directly in discussions with anybody about it. Q. And were you in discussions with anyone at Barclays during the week of September 8th or the weekend of September 13th concerning a possible transaction with Lehman Brothers? A. No, the only the only discussion that I was even a part of was the night of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that the firm could sell itself to Barclays if Barclays was interested in purchasing Lehman in a Chapter 11 asset sale and was Barclays interested in doing that. And that was probably about a five-minute conversation, to which I only heard Bart's side of the conversation, and he got off the phone and he said he's going to call us back. He said he needs to call somebody and he's going to call us back in a few minutes. Q. Let's just go a little slower through this conversation so I understand what it was you were proposing to Mr. McDade
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a big involvement in it, and that's pretty much what I knew as of the, you know, 11th, 12th. And then there was obviously a lot of discussion within Lehman Brothers as to what was going on down at the Fed that I heard, you know, heard snippets of. But I was not personally directly in discussions with anybody about it. Q. And were you in discussions with anyone at Barclays during the week of September 8th or the weekend of September 13th concerning a possible transaction with Lehman Brothers? A. No, the only the only discussion that I was even a part of was the night of Sunday the 7th night of Sunday the 14th when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that the firm could sell itself to Barclays if Barclays was interested in purchasing Lehman in a Chapter 11 asset sale and was Barclays interested in doing that. And that was probably about a five-minute conversation, to which I only heard Bart's side of the conversation, and he got off the phone and he said he's going to call us back. He said he needs to call somebody and he's going to call us back in a few minutes. Q. Let's just go a little slower through this conversation so I understand what it was you were proposing to Mr. McDade A. Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a big involvement in it, and that's pretty much what I knew as of the, you know, 11th, 12th. And then there was obviously a lot of discussion within Lehman Brothers as to what was going on down at the Fed that I heard, you know, heard snippets of. But I was not personally directly in discussions with anybody about it. Q. And were you in discussions with anyone at Barclays during the week of September 8th or the weekend of September 13th concerning a possible transaction with Lehman Brothers? A. No, the only the only discussion that I was even a part of was the night of Sunday the 7th night of Sunday the 14th when I went into Bart McDade's office about 6 o'clock	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that the firm could sell itself to Barclays if Barclays was interested in purchasing Lehman in a Chapter 11 asset sale and was Barclays interested in doing that. And that was probably about a five-minute conversation, to which I only heard Bart's side of the conversation, and he got off the phone and he said he's going to call us back. He said he needs to call somebody and he's going to call us back in a few minutes. Q. Let's just go a little slower through this conversation so I understand what it was you were proposing to Mr. McDade A. Sure. Q that was in any respect different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a big involvement in it, and that's pretty much what I knew as of the, you know, 11th, 12th. And then there was obviously a lot of discussion within Lehman Brothers as to what was going on down at the Fed that I heard, you know, heard snippets of. But I was not personally directly in discussions with anybody about it. Q. And were you in discussions with anyone at Barclays during the week of September 8th or the weekend of September 13th concerning a possible transaction with Lehman Brothers? A. No, the only the only discussion that I was even a part of was the night of Sunday the 7th night of Sunday the 14th when I went into Bart McDade's office about 6 o'clock that evening and told him that we could sell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that the firm could sell itself to Barclays if Barclays was interested in purchasing Lehman in a Chapter 11 asset sale and was Barclays interested in doing that. And that was probably about a five-minute conversation, to which I only heard Bart's side of the conversation, and he got off the phone and he said he's going to call us back. He said he needs to call somebody and he's going to call us back in a few minutes. Q. Let's just go a little slower through this conversation so I understand what it was you were proposing to Mr. McDade A. Sure. Q that was in any respect different than what had been transpiring over the weekend
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a big involvement in it, and that's pretty much what I knew as of the, you know, 11th, 12th. And then there was obviously a lot of discussion within Lehman Brothers as to what was going on down at the Fed that I heard, you know, heard snippets of. But I was not personally directly in discussions with anybody about it. Q. And were you in discussions with anyone at Barclays during the week of September 8th or the weekend of September 13th concerning a possible transaction with Lehman Brothers? A. No, the only the only discussion that I was even a part of was the night of Sunday the 7th night of Sunday the 14th when I went into Bart McDade's office about 6 o'clock that evening and told him that we could sell ourselves to Barclays in bankruptcy and I wanted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that the firm could sell itself to Barclays if Barclays was interested in purchasing Lehman in a Chapter 11 asset sale and was Barclays interested in doing that. And that was probably about a five-minute conversation, to which I only heard Bart's side of the conversation, and he got off the phone and he said he's going to call us back. He said he needs to call somebody and he's going to call us back in a few minutes. Q. Let's just go a little slower through this conversation so I understand what it was you were proposing to Mr. McDade A. Sure. Q that was in any respect different than what had been transpiring over the weekend when the transaction with Barclays had not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	M. Shapiro this is probably reported in the press maybe at the same time even, but I was aware that there were discussions going on between Bank of America and Lehman Brothers and I think Barclays and Lehman Brothers that most of it was happening in and around the Fed, the Fed had a big involvement in it, and that's pretty much what I knew as of the, you know, 11th, 12th. And then there was obviously a lot of discussion within Lehman Brothers as to what was going on down at the Fed that I heard, you know, heard snippets of. But I was not personally directly in discussions with anybody about it. Q. And were you in discussions with anyone at Barclays during the week of September 8th or the weekend of September 13th concerning a possible transaction with Lehman Brothers? A. No, the only the only discussion that I was even a part of was the night of Sunday the 7th night of Sunday the 14th when I went into Bart McDade's office about 6 o'clock that evening and told him that we could sell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. Shapiro called Bob Diamond on the phone, who was I believe having dinner, as has been reported, but I only heard Bart's side of the conversation. Q. Uh-huh. A. And he told Bob Diamond that I was in the room with him, that I I told Bart that the firm could sell itself to Barclays if Barclays was interested in purchasing Lehman in a Chapter 11 asset sale and was Barclays interested in doing that. And that was probably about a five-minute conversation, to which I only heard Bart's side of the conversation, and he got off the phone and he said he's going to call us back. He said he needs to call somebody and he's going to call us back in a few minutes. Q. Let's just go a little slower through this conversation so I understand what it was you were proposing to Mr. McDade A. Sure. Q that was in any respect different than what had been transpiring over the weekend

	Highly Confidential	_	Highly Confidential
	Page 18		Page 19
1	M. Shapiro	1	M. Shapiro
2	A. Correct.	2	some of the assets of whatever of the Lehman
3	Q. So I'm not a bankruptcy lawyer, so	3	Brothers entities we're referring to, correct?
4	you'll have to sort of tutor me just a little	4	A. I wasn't that specific with Bart. I
5	bit, but exactly what you were you recommending	5	basically said we can sell the firm we can
6	to Mr. McDade was possible after the	6	sell ourselves, I think was maybe my words, the
7	conversations with Barclays had failed on the	7	firm to Barclays in a 363, you know. You know,
8	weekend?	8	I didn't know if Bart understood what that was
9	A. I recommended to him that we see	9	because he wasn't a bankruptcy expert,
10	whether Barclays would be willing to purchase	10	obviously.
11	Lehman Brothers, in whole or in part, through	11	He did understand what I was talking
12	what's known as a Section 363 sale, which would	12	about. I gave him the 30-second snippet, if you
13	have involved, you know, a sale of all or any	13	want to call it that, of pretty much what I just
14	part of the assets and assumption of liabilities	14	told you.
15	of Lehman Brothers in order to effectuate a	15	Q. I was going to ask you if I could have
16	going concern sale.	16	the same 30 seconds, but if I've had it, fine.
17	Q. And when you say at Lehman Brothers,	17	A. Then he immediately call Bob Diamond
18	you mean Lehman Brothers, Inc., or do you mean	18	to share that with him.
19	Lehman Brothers Holding?	19	Q. Was anybody else in the room when you
20	A. I think at that point we were just	20	had your conversation with Bart?
21	talking about a generic Lehman Brothers. The	21	A. Somebody else was in the room, yes,
22	specificity of which assets was yet to be	22	but I just don't remember who it was.
23	determined.	23	Q. Was it Mr. Shafir?
24	Q. I take it that your suggestion to Mr.	24	A. It might have been Mr. Shafir. I just
25	McDade was that Barclays could purchase all or	25	can't remember.
viina 11000	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	ea ann eo e	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	Highly Confidential		Highly Confidential
	Page 20		Page 21
1	M. Shapiro	1	M. Shapiro
2	Q. Was this idea Mr. Shafir's idea or	2	Q. Now
3	your idea?	3	A. Here in our office.
4	A. It was my idea.	4	Q. Now, who was Lehman's team at that
5	Q. And Mr. McDade reported to you that	5	point?
6	Mr. Diamond was going to have to get back to	6	A. Well, when were you talking about?
7	you?	7	Q. When Mr. McDade got off the phone and
8	A. He didn't report to me. He was on the phone.	8 9	said he wants to meet our team at 7 A.M., who was on that team?
10	Q. I see.	10	A. Well, it was whoever Bart was going to
11	A. He said dropped the phone. He said	11	have in that meeting.
12	he needs to call somebody. He's going to call	12	Q. Were you on that team?
13	us back in a few minutes.	13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Did he call back?	14	Q. Who else was on it?
15	A. Yes.	15	A. Well, when you say "who else was on
16	Q. What happened in that conversation?	16	the team," I don't think that's the right
17	A. Bart spoke to him very briefly.	17	question. I think
18	Q. Was it personally or was it on a	18	Q. All right.
19	speakerphone?	19	A. Are you asking me the question who was
20	A. No, it was personally. So I didn't	20	in the first meeting?
21	hear the other side of the conversation, and	21	Q. I was going to ask you that question,
22	when Bart got off, which was a very short	22	but I didn't know whether Mr. McDade had gotten
23	conversation, he said he wants to he wants to	23	off the phone and said I want you to assemble a
24	move forward and he wants to have his team meet	24	team. Let's back up a little bit.
	move forward and he wants to have his team meet our team 7 A.M. tomorrow morning.	24 25	A. No, he did not.
24	move forward and he wants to have his team meet	1	

Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 22 Page 23 1 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro Q. All right. After your conversation 2 2 estate, that was the kind of, you know, the set 3 with Mr. McDade when he had just spoken to Mr. 3 of assets that people did not want to seem to Diamond the second time, what did you do? Did want to acquire, generally, and tried to think 5 you stay at the firm or did you go home? about how a deal could be structured that would 6 A. I stayed at the firm. 6 allow for a sale of the entire firm, let's call 7 Q. What did you do at that point? 7 it, without a sale of the real estate. I would 8 A. I started thinking about and mapping 8 say that was my principal focus on thinking 9 9 out what -- how we might be able to sell the about how a sale might occur. 10 assets, you know, the assets of the firm in a 10 Q. You're talking about back on the prior 11 way that would be able to effectuate a 11 Thursday? 12 12 transaction. A. Back on Thursday. 13 Q. How did you do that? 13 Q. How did you know on Thursday that the 14 A. Well, I had been, starting on --14 acquirers were not interested in acquiring the 15 starting on Thursday night when I first was 15 real estate? Somebody told you that? asked to be involved in thinking about a 16 A. When I learned about what was 17 17 possible bankruptcy filing, I had pulled happening generally on Thursday afternoon, you 18 together for myself, since I wasn't allowed to 18 know, I had been told by one of the M&A guys 19 have anybody working for me, so it was pretty 19 that the firm had set up something that they 20 much a one-man operation from Thursday --20 called Spinco; that the assets they were in the 21 Thursday, Friday for me in terms of thinking 21 process of trying to keep, move the, house the 22 about this, a corporate chart, tried to figure 22 commercial real estate assets in Spinco; that 23 out where the assets and liabilities were, tried generally speaking, you know, the buyers were 24 to understand where the real estate was housed 24 not interested in the real estate, that they 25 since nobody wanted to seem to touch the real were worried about it, given everything that was TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 24 Page 25 M. Shapiro 1 1 M. Shapiro 2 2 going on in the real estate world; and that the Q. What did you do? 3 idea in any kind of sale, whoever it was to, was 3 A. At first I called in people on my team 4 to effectively sell the Lehman Brothers as a 4 to come in and help me. Realizing that whatever 5 going concern firm to somebody. we were potentially going to be undertaking was 6 This is outside of bankruptcy now 6 going to be very challenging, I needed more 7 we're talking about. 7 people who could help deal with different issues 8 Q. Yes. 8 that were clearly going to need to be addressed. A. And to spin off those assets to the 9 9 Q. Let's stop there. Tell me who was on 10 shareholders as a real estate going concern, you 10 your team that evening. 11 know, proposition that would, you know, at some 11 A. Well, people were coming in, I'd say, point presumably be worth something, maybe more 12 over the course of the evening. So Dan Flores 13 than it was perceived to be worth at the time of 13 came in first. He was a vice president and one 14 the spinoff. 14 of my most trusted associates, associates in the 15 Q. Now, what was Project Green? Is that 15 general sense. 16 a name you know? 16 Q. I understand. 17 A. No. 17 A. And then others came in over the 18 course of the evening, including Gil Sanborn, Q. After you spoke to Mr. McDade that 18 evening around 6 o'clock on Sunday and you who is a managing director and was formally stayed at the firm, did you have any part in 20 co-head with me, and he's the chairman of 21 preparing a balance sheet for Lehman Brothers? 21 Advisory Restructuring. George Mack, who was a 22 A. No. 22 senior vice president and someone who, again, 23 Q. Did you do anything to prepare for the 23 very senior, you know, a lot of bankruptcy 24 meeting at 7 A.M. the next morning? 24 experience. 25 25 And they, in turn, called in some A. Yes.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Highly Confidential		Highly Confidential
	Page 26		Page 27
1	M. Shapiro	1	M. Shapiro
2	other people, so that there were a few analysts	2	presentation?
3	and I can't remember all the people, but there	3	A. Well, Dan Flores did a draft of it for
4	were, you know, of the of the 12 or 13 people	4	me and then I reviewed it and made changes to
5	in the group, probably eight or nine of them	5	it.
6	were called in to come in and help.	6	Q. You took it home with you to do the
7	Q. Okay. And did you have a task, if you	7	did you do the edits before you left?
8	will, something you were trying to prepare as a	8	A. I think I did the edits before I left.
9	group for purposes of the 7 A.M. meeting the	9	Q. And
10	next morning?	10	A. I think. I, truthfully, I don't
11	A. Not as a group. I thought it made	11	remember exactly.
12 13	sense to figure out, you know, could we come up	12	Q. What you
14	with a reasonably straightforward deal structure, and so we did put together a	13 14	A. It was very high level. It wasn't
15	PowerPoint presentation that we utilized during	15	granular because we didn't have any granular facts, so it was just here's a basic framework
16	the meeting at 7 A.M. the next day.	16	for how to think about this based on what we
17	Q. All right. You stayed there all	17	understood from the M&A team Barclays had been
18	night?	18	talking about generally.
19	A. No. We I think I left we worked	19	Q. Did it include financial information
20	from, you know, that point through probably	20	for the Lehman Brothers entities you were
21	until about 1 or 2. Then I went home and then I	21	talking about?
22	came in very early. Obviously I was there by 7	22	A. No, not that I recall.
23	A.M. the next morning. So I went home, got a	23	Q. Tell me what it looked like so I can
24	couple hours of sleep, and then came back.	24	try to locate it.
25	Q. Who prepared the PowerPoint	25	A. I haven't seen it since then, so I
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	Highly Confidential		Highly Confidential
	Page 28		Page 29
1	M. Shapiro		
	M. Shapho	1	M. Shapiro
2	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it	1 2	M. Shapiro First off, when you came in to Lehman
2	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different	2 3	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday
3 4	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that	2 3 4	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct?
3 4 5	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and	2 3 4 5	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct.
3 4 5 6	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a	2 3 4 5 6	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke.
3 4 5 6 7	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work,	2 3 4 5 6 7	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th.
3 4 5 6 7 8	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that. I, honestly, I'd have to look at it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in the office?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that. I, honestly, I'd have to look at it again. I haven't looked at it so I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in the office? A. Right before the meeting.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that. I, honestly, I'd have to look at it again. I haven't looked at it so I don't remember exactly. But it was intended in my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in the office? A. Right before the meeting. Q. Did you have any meetings with anyone
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that. I, honestly, I'd have to look at it again. I haven't looked at it so I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in the office? A. Right before the meeting.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that. I, honestly, I'd have to look at it again. I haven't looked at it so I don't remember exactly. But it was intended in my mind to at least lay out a basic framework for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in the office? A. Right before the meeting. Q. Did you have any meetings with anyone at Lehman Brothers prior to going into the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that. I, honestly, I'd have to look at it again. I haven't looked at it so I don't remember exactly. But it was intended in my mind to at least lay out a basic framework for how this kind of a transaction gets done. Not dissimilar in any way to any other 363 sale that I had worked on before.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in the office? A. Right before the meeting. Q. Did you have any meetings with anyone at Lehman Brothers prior to going into the meeting? A. Not that I recall. Q. Who was in the meeting at 7 o'clock?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that. I, honestly, I'd have to look at it again. I haven't looked at it so I don't remember exactly. But it was intended in my mind to at least lay out a basic framework for how this kind of a transaction gets done. Not dissimilar in any way to any other 363 sale that I had worked on before. Q. Did it identify the various divisions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in the office? A. Right before the meeting. Q. Did you have any meetings with anyone at Lehman Brothers prior to going into the meeting? A. Not that I recall. Q. Who was in the meeting at 7 o'clock? A. To the best of my recollection, from
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that. I, honestly, I'd have to look at it again. I haven't looked at it so I don't remember exactly. But it was intended in my mind to at least lay out a basic framework for how this kind of a transaction gets done. Not dissimilar in any way to any other 363 sale that I had worked on before. Q. Did it identify the various divisions of Lehman Brothers and what assets it's had?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in the office? A. Right before the meeting. Q. Did you have any meetings with anyone at Lehman Brothers prior to going into the meeting? A. Not that I recall. Q. Who was in the meeting at 7 o'clock? A. To the best of my recollection, from the Lehman side it was Mark Shafir, myself, Skip
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that. I, honestly, I'd have to look at it again. I haven't looked at it so I don't remember exactly. But it was intended in my mind to at least lay out a basic framework for how this kind of a transaction gets done. Not dissimilar in any way to any other 363 sale that I had worked on before. Q. Did it identify the various divisions of Lehman Brothers and what assets it's had? A. I don't remember.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in the office? A. Right before the meeting. Q. Did you have any meetings with anyone at Lehman Brothers prior to going into the meeting? A. Not that I recall. Q. Who was in the meeting at 7 o'clock? A. To the best of my recollection, from the Lehman side it was Mark Shafir, myself, Skip McGee, Bart McDade. There were I believe a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that. I, honestly, I'd have to look at it again. I haven't looked at it so I don't remember exactly. But it was intended in my mind to at least lay out a basic framework for how this kind of a transaction gets done. Not dissimilar in any way to any other 363 sale that I had worked on before. Q. Did it identify the various divisions of Lehman Brothers and what assets it's had? A. I don't remember. Q. Was it presented at 7 o'clock the next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in the office? A. Right before the meeting. Q. Did you have any meetings with anyone at Lehman Brothers prior to going into the meeting? A. Not that I recall. Q. Who was in the meeting at 7 o'clock? A. To the best of my recollection, from the Lehman side it was Mark Shafir, myself, Skip McGee, Bart McDade. There were I believe a number of Weil Gotshal attorneys there. Harvey
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that. I, honestly, I'd have to look at it again. I haven't looked at it so I don't remember exactly. But it was intended in my mind to at least lay out a basic framework for how this kind of a transaction gets done. Not dissimilar in any way to any other 363 sale that I had worked on before. Q. Did it identify the various divisions of Lehman Brothers and what assets it's had? A. I don't remember. Q. Was it presented at 7 o'clock the next morning to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in the office? A. Right before the meeting. Q. Did you have any meetings with anyone at Lehman Brothers prior to going into the meeting? A. Not that I recall. Q. Who was in the meeting at 7 o'clock? A. To the best of my recollection, from the Lehman side it was Mark Shafir, myself, Skip McGee, Bart McDade. There were I believe a number of Weil Gotshal attorneys there. Harvey Miller, Lori Fife, I think Tom Roberts. I can't
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that. I, honestly, I'd have to look at it again. I haven't looked at it so I don't remember exactly. But it was intended in my mind to at least lay out a basic framework for how this kind of a transaction gets done. Not dissimilar in any way to any other 363 sale that I had worked on before. Q. Did it identify the various divisions of Lehman Brothers and what assets it's had? A. I don't remember. Q. Was it presented at 7 o'clock the next morning to A. Not 7 o'clock, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in the office? A. Right before the meeting. Q. Did you have any meetings with anyone at Lehman Brothers prior to going into the meeting? A. Not that I recall. Q. Who was in the meeting at 7 o'clock? A. To the best of my recollection, from the Lehman side it was Mark Shafir, myself, Skip McGee, Bart McDade. There were I believe a number of Weil Gotshal attorneys there. Harvey Miller, Lori Fife, I think Tom Roberts. I can't remember who else. Maybe others, I just don't
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that. I, honestly, I'd have to look at it again. I haven't looked at it so I don't remember exactly. But it was intended in my mind to at least lay out a basic framework for how this kind of a transaction gets done. Not dissimilar in any way to any other 363 sale that I had worked on before. Q. Did it identify the various divisions of Lehman Brothers and what assets it's had? A. I don't remember. Q. Was it presented at 7 o'clock the next morning to A. Not 7 o'clock, no. Q. When was it presented?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in the office? A. Right before the meeting. Q. Did you have any meetings with anyone at Lehman Brothers prior to going into the meeting? A. Not that I recall. Q. Who was in the meeting at 7 o'clock? A. To the best of my recollection, from the Lehman side it was Mark Shafir, myself, Skip McGee, Bart McDade. There were I believe a number of Weil Gotshal attorneys there. Harvey Miller, Lori Fife, I think Tom Roberts. I can't remember who else. Maybe others, I just don't remember. But it wasn't a huge meeting. It was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that. I, honestly, I'd have to look at it again. I haven't looked at it so I don't remember exactly. But it was intended in my mind to at least lay out a basic framework for how this kind of a transaction gets done. Not dissimilar in any way to any other 363 sale that I had worked on before. Q. Did it identify the various divisions of Lehman Brothers and what assets it's had? A. I don't remember. Q. Was it presented at 7 o'clock the next morning to A. Not 7 o'clock, no. Q. When was it presented? A. It was presented towards the end of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in the office? A. Right before the meeting. Q. Did you have any meetings with anyone at Lehman Brothers prior to going into the meeting? A. Not that I recall. Q. Who was in the meeting at 7 o'clock? A. To the best of my recollection, from the Lehman side it was Mark Shafir, myself, Skip McGee, Bart McDade. There were I believe a number of Weil Gotshal attorneys there. Harvey Miller, Lori Fife, I think Tom Roberts. I can't remember who else. Maybe others, I just don't remember. But it wasn't a huge meeting. It was a reasonably small meeting.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that. I, honestly, I'd have to look at it again. I haven't looked at it so I don't remember exactly. But it was intended in my mind to at least lay out a basic framework for how this kind of a transaction gets done. Not dissimilar in any way to any other 363 sale that I had worked on before. Q. Did it identify the various divisions of Lehman Brothers and what assets it's had? A. I don't remember. Q. Was it presented at 7 o'clock the next morning to A. Not 7 o'clock, no. Q. When was it presented? A. It was presented towards the end of that meeting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in the office? A. Right before the meeting. Q. Did you have any meetings with anyone at Lehman Brothers prior to going into the meeting? A. Not that I recall. Q. Who was in the meeting at 7 o'clock? A. To the best of my recollection, from the Lehman side it was Mark Shafir, myself, Skip McGee, Bart McDade. There were I believe a number of Weil Gotshal attorneys there. Harvey Miller, Lori Fife, I think Tom Roberts. I can't remember who else. Maybe others, I just don't remember. But it wasn't a huge meeting. It was a reasonably small meeting. And then from Barclays it was Archie
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what I remember is it was one or two pages, it was very short, and it had a series of different categories of like I think different things that could be sold, but that was, you know, and which maybe which company housed it, with a general description of how a 363 might work, something like that. I, honestly, I'd have to look at it again. I haven't looked at it so I don't remember exactly. But it was intended in my mind to at least lay out a basic framework for how this kind of a transaction gets done. Not dissimilar in any way to any other 363 sale that I had worked on before. Q. Did it identify the various divisions of Lehman Brothers and what assets it's had? A. I don't remember. Q. Was it presented at 7 o'clock the next morning to A. Not 7 o'clock, no. Q. When was it presented? A. It was presented towards the end of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	First off, when you came in to Lehman Brothers on that would be then Monday morning, the 8th, correct? A. Correct. Q. Pardon me. I misspoke. A. No, Monday morning, the 15th. Q. The 15th. What time did you get in the office? A. Right before the meeting. Q. Did you have any meetings with anyone at Lehman Brothers prior to going into the meeting? A. Not that I recall. Q. Who was in the meeting at 7 o'clock? A. To the best of my recollection, from the Lehman side it was Mark Shafir, myself, Skip McGee, Bart McDade. There were I believe a number of Weil Gotshal attorneys there. Harvey Miller, Lori Fife, I think Tom Roberts. I can't remember who else. Maybe others, I just don't remember. But it wasn't a huge meeting. It was a reasonably small meeting.

Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 30 Page 31 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro 1 2 they wanted. Cleary, a number of Cleary Gottlieb lawyers, 2 3 which included Victor Lewkow. I don't remember 3 They said, I think, that they didn't 4 who else from Cleary. There might have been 4 think that they were going to be interested in 5 others. I don't have a complete recollection, 5 businesses outside the United States, but they 6 but that's most of the people, probably. 6 hadn't finalized that yet, but that was kind of 7 Q. Okay. Bob Diamond wasn't there? 7 their starting -- kind of starting point, let's 8 8 A. call it. They would still consider that, but 9 9 Q. Was Steve Berkenfeld there? they hadn't made a final decision on it, but 10 A. I don't remember. 10 that's where they were heading, was just to 11 0. How long did the meeting last? 11 obtain -- just to purchase the U.S. businesses. 12 A. I would guess it lasted about two 12 There was some discussion about 13 hours, maybe something like that, in that 13 timing. They asked Harvey Miller, you know, how neighborhood. 14 long would this take, how would he see it going. 15 Q. Would you tell me what you recall 15 His response was, you know, that we would have 16 about what was said in the meeting and, as best 16 to do it quickly, obviously. He thought that, 17 vou can at this point, by whom? 17 you know, if we could move very quickly, it 1.8 A. It was a long time ago so it's hard to 18 could get done, you know, reasonably fast. I 19 19 think he estimated that it would probably be, in remember specifics, truthfully. 20 I remember Barclays saying that they his estimation, about 15 days, and to which I --21 were, you know, they were interested in 21 to which I responded saying, I don't think the purchasing the U.S. assets of Lehman, the U.S. 22 firm will be able to survive that long and that business of Lehman. They were not interested in 23 I thought we needed to do it more rapidly and that I thought we needed to try to accomplish a 24 buying the commercial real estate, let's call it 24 25 the Spinco assets. That was not something that sale, you know, within a shorter timeframe than TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 32 Page 33 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro 1 2 probably some discussion about the real estate, that. 3 you know, the building. I just don't remember 3 Q. Why was the firm under that kind of 4 pressure? Why couldn't it survive 15 days? 4 Q. Did the people from Barclays bring 5 5 A. Based on my personal observations, 6 anything to the meeting, any documents? 6 people were packing up and leaving. The e-mails 7 were flying around saying goodbye. The general A. Not that I remember. 8 mood was one of people believing that Lehman 8 O. They had done a due diligence of Lehman assets at some point in time for the Brothers was going to be liquidated and that transaction that was being negotiated over the 10 10 everybody was moving on to wherever they could try to move on to and that there wasn't going to 11 weekend, right? 12 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 12 be a going concern left because you wouldn't A. Can you clarify the question or read 13 13 have all the people around to actually function 14 14 back the question? in their positions. 15 Q. Was a timeframe set at that meeting 15 Q. You were aware, were you not, that for purposes of getting a transaction done? 16 Barclays was not starting from ground zero when 16 17 it was meeting with you? They had already done 17 A. No. 18 18 an analysis or review of certainly the assets --Q. Was there any discussion about any 19 I thought you told me a little while ago that specific assets of Lehman, apart from the 20 you were aware that there was some kind of commercial real estate that you described, that negotiation between Lehman Brothers and Barclays 21 of the U.S. assets that Barclays was interested 22 at the end of the prior week and over the 22 in purchasing? 23 23 A. You know, unfortunately, I don't have weekend, right? 24 a great recollection of exactly the specifics in 24 MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 25 You can answer. that meeting. I think -- I think there was TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 34 Page 35 1 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro 1 2 A. I was aware starting on, as I said, 2 because Lori Fife took the lead in describing 3 Thursday afternoon that there were discussions let's call it generically what a transaction going on generally between Lehman Brothers, the could look like structurally, and then this --5 5 Fed, and a number of institutions which were this document was kind of similar to what Lori 6 rumored to be Barclays and B of A. I have no 6 then had outlined, and I think we provided it to 7 idea what Barclays' level of diligence was other 7 everybody towards the end of the meeting. We 8 than what I heard afterwards, which was that 8 didn't actually then go through that document. 9 they hadn't started doing due diligence until Q. As best you recall, is it the only Wednesday of this week, meaning Wednesday the 10 10 document that was discussed or shared in the 11 10th. 11 meeting? 12 Q. Okay. 12 A. I don't remember. 13 A. So that's what I heard after the fact. 13 Q. What happened immediately after the 14 I have no personal knowledge of when they 14 meeting so far as you were concerned? 15 actually started or what they actually did. 15 A. I'm trying to remember immediately 16 Q. Okay. When you were in the meeting 16 after the meeting. I think we -- I think what 17 with the Barclays people and the Lehman people 17 was agreed was that there was -- people were 18 on the morning of the 15th, is it your 18 going to split up into different functions to 19 19 recollection there was no discussion of any start to work through to how to get to a 20 specific Lehman assets that were -- that 20 transaction, which is I'd say, you know, a 21 21 Barclays was interested in purchasing? normal -- a normal thing you do is figure out, 22 A. I don't remember. 22 okay, who's going to be doing what part of the 23 Q. And was this PowerPoint presented in 23 deal, who's going to be focused on which 24 the meeting? 24 particular elements of the deal. 25 25 A. Yeah, later during the meeting, And I think there was agreement that, TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 **Highly Confidential** Highly Confidential Page 36 Page 37 1 M. Shapiro 1 M. Shapiro 2 you know, amongst different people that there 2 would need to be sold in order to sell the 3 would be different people -- different people business as a going concern. So those buildings 4 would be gathered to start working towards 4 would be sold. 5 5 different pieces of the deal. Then there was the question of, well, 6 6 Q. Okay. Again, I've already confessed what assets, i.e., what are the assets of 7 I'm not a bankruptcy lawyer, so perhaps you can 7 Lehman. The assets of Lehman obviously included 8 tell me what those various divisions of labor 8 a range of things ranging from securities that 9 9 were. they owned to private equity positions to real 10 A. Well, there was clearly, you know, 10 estate positions to, you know, other -- other 11 there was clearly going to be, if you look at 11 kinds of financial assets. The question was 12 what -- what was Barclays going to buy, we 12 what would Barclays buy. That was obviously was 13 13 weren't really exactly sure what they were going going to be them telling us what they were 14 interested in buying. to buy. So we start with let's call it the 15 bigger pieces, which was starting with the real 15 There were obviously the contracts in 16 estate. 16 terms of the operations of the firm in a 17 All right, so we had a building in New 17 bankruptcy in order to transfer contracts. You 18 York that we all worked in, 745 Seventh Avenue. need to in 363 sale have them assumed and 18 19 I learned during the course of I guess the 19 assigned, so we needed to figure out a way that 20 they could understand in some way what those 20 weekend maybe that there were two buildings, two 21 or three buildings -- I believe two buildings in contracts might be. 22 New Jersey that were operation centers that Obviously there was the liabilities 23 would also be necessary as part of the, you 23 side of the firm, which is what assets -- what

24

liabilities would they assume as part of the transaction, ranging from ordinary-course

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

know, back office, computer functions, all of

those things that support a financial firm that TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Highly Confidential

Page 38

M. Shapiro

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

20

23

24

25

1

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

25

payables to accrued compensation to other elements that obviously --

Again, these were not specifically discussed that I recall in this meeting. I'm just giving you a general view from my perspective of how I was thinking about the different elements involved. Obviously there was also the question of the non-U.S. parts of the firm and what they were going to do around those.

We obviously had operations, large 13 operations in Europe and a large operation in Asia, not quite as large, but still meaningful, and how they were going to deal with that. So the question was really what was it that they 17 were going to buy and how, once they decided 18 what they were going to be willing to buy, how 19 were we going to structure that.

Q. Let's step out of the time sequence for a moment and then let me ask you this question: Did there come a time when you finally learned exactly what it was that Barclays was intending to buy?

A. I would say, generally, in terms of TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 39

M. Shapiro

categories, yes, but over the course of a, you know, let's call it 24-hour period, so it was, you know, it wasn't -- it wasn't a -- it wasn't like they had a list and said here's exactly what we're buying, here are the exact assets.

We knew at some point that they wanted to own the building, 745 Seventh Avenue; that they wanted the data centers; that they were looking at the book of securities, they were diligencing that kind of in a real-time basis.

12 They had two, at least two senior 13 executives that I recall that were not in the 14 original meeting that I recall at least. Maybe one of them might have been Rich Ricci. I can't remember if he was in that first 7 A.M. meeting, 17 but Rich Ricci and Mike Keegan, who I met, were 18 both senior executives or are currently senior 19 executives of Barclays, but senior executives 20 who were diligencing a lot of the securities 21 that were under consideration by them for 22 purchase. 23

I'd have to go back through the -- you 24 know, ultimately I think they concluded that 25 they did not want Europe, they did not want TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 40

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

M. Shapiro

Asia, and obviously that actually made -- made 2 3 life from a deal perspective a little simpler 4 because those two entities that -- general 5 entities, meaning Lehman Brothers International in London and whatever the name of the Asian 6 7 entity was, were at that point both either in insolvency proceedings or on the verge of insolvency proceedings which were completely 10 outside the Chapter 11.

And since a court in the bankruptcy court in the U.S. only has jurisdiction to deal with assets under its jurisdiction in the United States, it would have been very difficult to put together a multifaceted deal that was across borders in the time that we all believed we had to accomplish a transaction.

So I would say their desires matched up well in my mind at the time with what was achievable.

21 Q. Going back to the meeting in the 22 morning, did it start at 7 o'clock?

A. Yes. 23

24 Q. And end at about 9, you said?

> A. I don't remember exactly. It was a TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 41

M. Shapiro

few hours. It was a few hours of meetings.

Q. I would like to talk a little bit about the landscape of that day, meaning how people actually divided up and where they were and what rooms they were in and where you were and the like.

Now, we can do this, you know, one step at a time, but maybe you should give me an overview, if you can, so we can begin to talk about this with more specificity.

So after the meeting breaks up, do people essentially get assignments to deal with the various aspects of a possible transaction and occupy certain rooms? Tell me what it is that happened.

- A. Again, it's hard to remember exactly what happened minute or minute or hour by hour.
- Q. That's why I asked the question the question the way I did. I appreciate that that might be difficult. I'm just right now trying to get an understanding of what -- I'm calling it the landscape of the day.
- A. Sure.
 - Q. How work got done that day. TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 42 Page 43 1 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro 2 A. Right. the easiest place to get a deal done. 3 3 Q. If you could give me some background. Ultimately, we had to bring in printers and 4 A. I would say people were generally, you 4 computers. And I think during the course of the 5 know, people who were working on the deal, which evening through the night someone at Cleary there were many, were gathered -- I would say suggested we move to Cleary, which I said, no, 6 6 7 7 the leaders were gathered from time to time on we're not moving 100 people to Cleary in the 8 the 31st floor. Different conference rooms were 8 middle of the night. That didn't seem like a 9 9 allocated to different people or different good idea to me. 10 10 teams. MR. STERN: Minor thing: Is it 31 or 11 So Barclays had a room. I don't know, 11 32? 12 you know, I'm not sure who was in their room, 12 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 32. but they had a room or two. Cleary had a room 13 Q. We were going to get to that, but or two. We had a couple of rooms, meaning 14 thank you. 15 Lehman had a few rooms. There was a room where 15 A. 32. 32nd floor. 16 the DIP -- room or rooms that the DIP So I don't know if that answers your 16 17 negotiations were going on, which was a question about kind of logistically where people were, but there were -- obviously every room was 18 separate, separate set of discussions, 18 19 obviously, separate but related. 19 being taken up by somebody who was a participant 20 20 One of the problems we had in the discussions dealing with some function in 21 logistically was that, you know, 31 is set up as 21 the discussion. 22 Q. Now -a dining room and not as a conference center 23 A. And it was actually very, given the 23 with laptops and computers and printers, and we 24 started the deal there, ended the deal there. 24 time we had, it was very well organized. We had 25 labels on each door. Everybody knew whose room It was probably, you know, it was not TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 45 Page 44 1 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro 1 2 A. No, it was not. It was broken down by 2 was -- which room was which so people were not let's call it who was doing what work. So you 3 interrupting people if they wanted privacy. 4 And we -- the lawyers started working had a room probably about the size of this room 5 5 immediately on a general contract that was, I where lawyers were gathered around the table 6 don't know who -- I think -- I don't remember 6 similar to this who were starting to think about 7 who did the drafting, I think it might have been 7 a contract, right? 8 Weil Gotshal, a guy named Mike -- I forget his 8 And they were -- obviously everybody 9 last name. Mike, I forget his last name, but was waiting because there was no contract, 10 right? It had to be drafted. It had to be, you was a partner, M&A partner, working for Tom 11 know -- and then not only did it have to be Roberts or working with Tom Roberts. He was one 11 drafted, but you had to start putting the meat 12 12 of the principal drafts people of the contract. 13 Q. Of the Asset Purchase Agreement? 13 on the bones, which is what is it that Barclays 14 14 was interested in buying and what would that all A. Of the Asset Purchase Agreement, 15 look like. 15 correct. 16 16 MR. STERN: Lubowitz. So there was a room that was 17 effectively filled with lawyers that I would 17 THE WITNESS: That's it. Lubowitz, come in and out of around later in the day and 18 that's correct. Mike Lubowitz. 18 the evening around kind of what was going on. 19 Q. Let's talk about the rooms for just a 19 Because obviously we were negotiating and 20 moment so I'm clear what the breakup is. I 20 understand there were several Lehman rooms, but 21 drafting, you know, real-time. So we were going were the rooms broken down for people who were 22 through page by page and going through 23 provisions and negotiating specifics around each 23 dealing with issues related to contracts the 24 provision. And it obviously took more than one firm had, compensation, you know, securities 25 round to do that. positions, and was it that broken down? TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

5

7

8

15

16

17

18

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Highly Confidential

Page 46

M. Shapiro

- Q. Where was the actual negotiation of the transaction taking place?
 - A. On the 32nd floor.

necessarily in those rooms.

1

2

3

4

5

6

12

13

14

15

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And was it taking place in any one place?
- 7 A. On that -- let me say it was taking 8 place on the 32nd floor on the night of -- on 9 the day and the night of the 15th and 16th. 10 After that, obviously there were other negotiations that took place which were not
 - O. Okay. Who was negotiating the transaction, as opposed now to getting backup for the negotiators, if you take my point?
- 16 A. I would say our lead negotiator, the 17 lead overall negotiator was Bart McDade, who was 18 the president of the firm. I would say he was, 19 you know, he was informed on every key provision. He obviously was not, you know, privy to every legal negotiation, but, you know, you wouldn't expect him to be because that's not
- the role of a CEO. That's what we had lawyers 24 and other people for. But in terms of any key 25 provisions, he was always consulted and his
 - TSG Reporting Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 48

M. Shapiro

others, including Bob Diamond, for all I know, or Rich Ricci or other people.

But to us, when Michael Klein would --Michael Klein was their investment banker, so he was also, let's call it -- now I'll get to the second part of your question, which was who was in the trenches dealing with some specific issues as we negotiated this deal through --

Ο. Right.

-- as you always have, and that team was really a combination of Mark Shafir, who was the head of M&A, myself, Skip McGee from time to time, depending on what provisions we were dealing with, he was around and we would consult with him, and then the lawyers from Weil Gotshal, which included Lori Fife, who's a bankruptcy lawyer, Tom Roberts, Mike Lub --What's his name?

20 MR. STERN: Lubowitz.

21 A. -- mike Lubowitz, and then on the other side it was really Archie Cox, this guy 23 Michael Klein, and then the Cleary lawyers led 24 by really Victor Lewkow. 25

And then there were many other people TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 47

M. Shapiro

2 input was obtained. 3

Q. Anyone else for Lehman who was a principal negotiator?

A. Then in terms of let's call it -- but 6 I'm differentiating -- I'm differentiating different levels of negotiation, which always occur in every transaction. So you have let's 9 call it the in-the-trench negotiators who are 10 negotiating most of the individual provisions 11 and then you have the leaders of each side who, 12 when things are not agreed to or when there's a 13 dispute, people go back to them and try to see 14 whether or not. So I would --

Q. And that was Mr. McDade?

A. That was Mr. McDade, yes.

Q. And who on the Barclays side was his counterpart?

19 A. It appeared to me to be Archie Cox, 20 but I'm not privy to all the discussions that 21 happened at Barclays. But Archie was, of 22 anybody at Barclays, he was the face of Barclays 23 that we saw the most of. He appeared to be the 24 person who was, at least on its face, making the 25 decisions. He might have been consulting with TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 49

M. Shapiro

1 2 who were involved in ascertaining what the 3 assets were that were being -- that were proposing to be purchased and proposing to be 5 sold, and there were many people who were trying just to obtain sufficient information that would 6 7 form the basis for a contract that could ultimately be presented to the court because 9 obviously we needed to make sure that we 10 understood what we had the right to sell to 11 Barclays. 12

Given the fact that we were talking about a very large firm of, you know, with a lot of securities and there was a lot of stuff going on, meaning people were taking legal action against Lehman all over the world, we had to be sure ultimately that whatever we were telling them we were selling to them we actually had the legal right to sell to them.

O. Was Steve Berkenfeld involved in the negotiations on that Monday and Tuesday?

O. Would he have been one of the people negotiating what you call in the trenches?

A. He was more involved in some of the TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 50

M. Shapiro

legal negotiations. He was definitely at the table from time to time with the lawyers. He was not, to the best of my recollection, in the meetings that took place between myself, Mark Shafir, Archie and Michael Klein.

Q. I want to get the names of the people that you recall who were dealing with specific issues before we come back to how the actual negotiations took place.

You said that there were people looking at securities, people dealing with the real estate and the like. Can you give me any names of people who were dealing with those component parts of a possible transaction?

A. Sure. Okay. So let's start with the real estate.

O. Okav.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

25

1

5

6

11

19 A. So they said to us that they would buy 20 the buildings for appraised value. I believe that was Archie who told us that. And so that seemed like a pretty reasonable way to approach 23 it given the fact that, you know, no one wanted 24 to just pick a number.

> So we said we would go off and get an TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 51

M. Shapiro

appraisal, they would go off and get an appraisal, and hopefully they would match or come close to matching. So I called the head of Investment Banking, Real Estate, Steve Hash, and 6 told him that I needed him to hire a firm to 7 provide us with desktop appraisals for 745 8 Seventh Avenue and for the two data centers.

9 He asked me a few questions like 10 should they assume that the building was going 11 to be occupied as a going concern or was it going to be an empty, you know, an appraisal 12 13 based on an empty building. I said, no, we 14 should assume that it's a full working building, 15 that it would be effectively, you know, I don't 16 know what you want to call it, but leased up as 17 if, you know, a valuation based on a fully 18 occupied 745 and a fully occupied set of data 19

And he said he would go off and hire one of the major appraisal firms. I don't recall whether it was Cushman or -- it was one of the traditional firms that you would go to to get a real estate appraisal of major commercial real estate. And I told him that we had very TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 52

20

21

23

24

1

5

10

11

13

18

19

M. Shapiro

2 little time to get it done, that we needed it within a day or two, and he said he would go 4 work on that.

O. Okav.

A. So I would say that was -- and then he, I believe he in turn assigned people within his group to help him facilitate that. And I don't know what Barclays did, but I believe that they -- they had their own appraisal, I believe. So that was the real estate.

12 On the securities side, I would say I 13 was not privy to some of, a good chunk of what was happening other than the fact that there were a number of people who I think Bart tasked to make sure, you know, we were figuring out 17 what is it that we had and how, you know, and give appropriate information to Barclays in 18 terms of those securities. And I know that there were a lot of different people who were 21 being asked to pull all this information 22 together.

23 So, for example, Alex Kirk, who ran High Yield was involved in that. Jim Seery, who 24 ran Loan Sales and Trading was involved in that. TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 53

M. Shapiro

2 Martin Kelly, who I don't know his function, but I know he was involved in helping find some of that information.

O. Ian Lowitt?

6 A. Probably Ian Lowitt, yeah, but 7 probably more -- he was probably dealing more with Bart and, you know, than -- I mean, I dealt 8 9 with Ian from time to time, but not a lot.

Q. What about Mark Gelband?

A. Mark Gelband? You mean Mike Gelband?

12 O. Mike Gelband.

A. Mike was I think the head of Fixed 14 Income at the time or a senior guy in Fixed 15 Income. I forget his title at that point because he had come and left and come back. He 17 was definitely involved in it at some point.

Q. And when we say "it," talking about the securities?

A. Securities, yeah.

20 And don't forget these are all people 21 22 who were in the Fixed Income Division, you know, senior leaders of the Fixed Income Division who 23 had obviously the most access to what fixed 24 25 income securities we had.

Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 54 Page 55 M. Shapiro 1 M. Shapiro 2 Q. Okay. 2 Q. But the word was he was shopping 3 A. I don't know -- I don't know on the 3 himself and he wasn't around? equity side who was involved. I suspect Jerry 4 4 A. At the time the word was, you know, he Donini, who ran the Equities Division, had some 5 5 wasn't completely around. 6 role, but I don't know for sure. 6 Q. But do you know whether or not he had 7 7 Q. Before we leave fixed income, was Eric any involvement? 8 Felder involved? 8 A. I don't personally know. I think he 9 A. Eric Felder was involved at some 9 had some involvement, but I don't know what the 10 level, but my impression was that he was not 10 level of involvement was, how detailed, what he around a good chunk of the weekend. From what I exactly did. I know that we were trying to 11 12 was hearing, he was out, you know, shopping 12 get -- we were trying to get him to look at 13 himself. 13 something that involved some fixed income piece, 14 14 Q. You say not involved in the weekend? but I just don't remember what it was. 15 O. Were there people involved in pulling We're on Monday and Tuesday now. I just want to 15 together compensation information for Barclays? be clear on what time period we're talking. 16 17 17 A. Okay. Sorry. I was thinking the A. I don't personally know. I don't 18 weekend. 18 know. 19 Q. You're talking about the weekend 19 Q. And you referred previously to this 20 20 previous or the weekend -collection of contracts that Barclays might be 21 A. Well, what I was -- when I was 21 assuming. Was there someone looking at that 22 talking, I'm meaning like starting on Sunday 22 universe? 23 night, Monday. Probably by Monday during the A. Yeah. 24 day, Felder was around, yeah, and I don't know Who was that? Ο. 25 25 exactly what his role was. A. I wouldn't say there was someone. I TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 **Highly Confidential** Highly Confidential Page 56 Page 57 M. Shapiro 1 M. Shapiro 1 2 together either a list or, you know, something 2 don't know who it was, but I can tell you how we that ultimately Barclays could start to look at 3 started to think about it. to understand what these contracts might be, and 4 So, obviously, given my background, 5 5 as we started talking about it with Archie you know, and knowing how these deals get done, 6 6 Cox -- I believe it was Archie -- and Michael you quickly say, okay, what's someone who's on 7 the buy side going to want, right? They're 7 Klein, one of them asked something like, you 8 going to clearly need some of these contracts 8 know, well -- I said you understand that the 9 that are running this firm. 9 way -- and they didn't really have much of an 10 Now, Lehman is a gigantic firm. I had 10 appreciation for bankruptcy, truthfully. 11 no idea how many contracts we would ultimately 11 Michael Klein, while he had been an investment 12 banker, he had, as far as I was concerned, zero 12 have to assume, have assumed and assigned to 13 them. So when we sat down, and clearly we 13 knowledge of bankruptcy and so I was explaining 14 to him how you effectuate a sale that involves started talking about, you know, what were the 15 an assumption of contracts by a debtor. material contracts, there wasn't anybody who 16 16 really -- who had ever been tasked with the So what I explained to him was that 17 you need to -- they would have the right to pick notion of like what Lehman -- you know, a firm 17 which contracts they ultimately wanted. We as big as a place like Lehman, there isn't a 18 18 single person who is expecting to have to answer 19 would give them some reasonable timeframe to do 19 20 the question of what are all the contracts of 20 this, which, you know, I proposed 60 days. I 21 Lehman Brothers, right? And so there wasn't a 21 thought that would be sufficient time for them 22 to figure it out since we didn't know exactly 22 push the button, here's all the contracts. 23 23 what we were talking about. So we asked -- I tasked one of the And that's a pretty -- I would call people who worked for me, George Mack, and said 24 25 that a pretty common, maybe even a short, we need to start to get someone who can pull TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Highly Confidential

Page 58

M. Shapiro

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

16

17

18

relatively speaking, period of time to do that in, and told them that they would have to pay the cure costs for any contracts that they would want to have assumed and assigned to them as part of this deal, that that was something that we wanted them to take on, that that was not something that the estate was prepared to do. And I explained to them that, in my experience, that's the normal way that these deals get done.

Q. And your concern at that point as a bankruptcy person was that those claims would be -- pardon me, those contracts could end up being claims against the estate, so you wanted them taken on by Barclays?

A. Yeah, we wanted -- I would say there 17 were two issues. One was we wanted to design a 18 contract that made sense in the context of what we were doing, and so this was a very standard provision for when you're selling a company is 21 the buyer having the right to purchase contracts 22 that they ultimately choose to purchase.

And I would say it's always 24 negotiated, actually, whether the buyer or the seller will end up paying the cure costs of the TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 59

M. Shapiro

assumed contracts. That's usually a very 3 heavily negotiated item in the context of a 363 sale. My going-in negotiating position was,

5 Barclays, you need to take them all, you need to 6 pick up all the cure costs.

Q. Now --

A. And then the question came back to me. well, what are we talking about? How much are we talking about?

Q. And you had said previously that there had been, when you sat down to look at this, there was -- you used that phrase "when you sat down." I take it there was some gathering of people, yourself, maybe Mr. Mack?

A. Actually, it was a big room and it was 17 basically Archie and Michael Klein and Mark Shafir and myself.

Q. All right. And you met specifically -- at least part of the meeting was for purposes of trying to identify or understand what the contracts that would have to be assumed by Barclays?

A. Well, we were talking about a bunch of different issues and this was one of them, and TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 60

M. Shapiro

this took a while to explain, obviously, because it has a level of complexity to it that they were not really aware of.

Q. At the time you're sitting down at this meeting, had you already asked Mr. Mack to try to identify the universe of contracts that Lehman had?

A. No, I think as a result of this meeting I then called him and said I need you to figure out and start finding out like where are the contracts, who are they with, can we get a list of them. You know, I was expecting to see, you know, a computer stack of just like a printout or something this high (indicating), whatever we could get.

Q. "This high" meaning two feet or so?

A. Yeah, two feet, sorry, that could allow Barclays to diligence and to start to understand what this might be, recognizing that 21 we were going to give them 60 days so they didn't need to know everything before we closed. But the question that came back to us was, which was a fair question, was, okay, well, how much 25 do you think this would -- if we assumed, you TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 61

M. Shapiro

know, a lot of these contracts, what do you think it's going to cost us to do this?

O. Was the question if we assumed a lot of them or the question whether we assumed all of them? I mean, what was the actual question itself?

A. I think it was a more general question, which was, when we said, you know, 10 here -- there's a lot of contracts, I can't tell 11 you what they are, they could be in the 12 thousands, I really can't be sure, but we will 13 try to get that information obviously in the 14 course of the day. Because this was probably, I 15 don't know, early afternoon or something like 16 that on that -- on the 15th. 17

Archie, you know, well, what would the cure costs be? I think he was just trying to get a sense of what we were talking about, you know, on the assumption that many of the contracts could be contracts he would need to assume in order to continue to operate the business, right? He really didn't have -- none of us really knew exactly what they would have to

The question came back, I believe from

Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 62 Page 63 M. Shapiro 1 M. Shapiro assume. We assumed that a good chunk of them thinking about, well, how -- how could we figure 3 would have to be assumed in order to continue to 3 that out in the timeframe that we had, run the firm recognizing that we all believed that we had, 5 Q. It's fairly, fairly stated, isn't it, vou know, a short time, a couple of days, two 6 that you knew some of them would have to be 6 days, three days, at best, to pull this 7 assumed and you knew some of them would not have 7 information together in some satisfactory way to 8 to be assumed, correct? 8 Barclavs. 9 A. We didn't know some of them wouldn't 9 Q. And how did you go about trying to 10 have to be assumed. We assumed that many of 10 identify what I'll call the quantum? How big is them would have to be assumed. We didn't know 11 11 this number? 12 what wouldn't have to be assumed because we had 12 A. So I think I first asked George Mack, 13 no idea what Barclays wouldn't need, nor did we 13 again, as part of this, to figure out like what 14 actually know what all of the contracts were. 14 were the outstandings that were unpaid under 15 We just knew that there were likely to be a lot 15 these contracts. And I think he went to of them. 16 somebody and the answer came back we can't 16 17 Q. And so you tasked Mr. Mack after this 17 possibly figure that out in this time 18 meeting to get the collection of contracts? 18 specifically to each contract. It was just, you 19 A. Start a process to get somebody in the 19 know, there was no ability, functionally, to do 20 firm or some group of people in the firm to pull 20 that in the time we had. That's just not -- I 21 together the contracts. That was kind of task 21 was not humanly possible. 22 22 one. And then to the cure point, let's call it, So but Barclays obviously wanted that to the question of, well, what is it that --23 information from us, so we started thinking 24 what would be the magnitude of what we might about, well, how could we approximate that 24 25 have to pay, we didn't know, and so we started 25 amount. And so a group of us, we were talking TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 65 Page 64 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro 2 about it on the Lehman side, and we said, well, 2 if they were going to have to assume all of one way that we could estimate this was by 3 these contracts. 3 Q. Who did that work? having somebody look at the payables run, the 4 4 5 normal payables run in the ordinary course, 5 A. I don't know. George -- George went off and started talking to people in the finance 6 exclude comp, right, whatever is normally paid 6 7 for compensation on a weekly or monthly basis, 7 area. And there were many people that were take a snapshot of a normal payable cycle, all working around, obviously around the clock on these things trying to pull this information right, so at any given time you have a certainly together for us. I don't know who actually did 10 amount of payables outstanding, not knowing when 10 the work. 11 under every contract a payment was going to be 11 made, and not knowing they were all monthly, 12 Q. Is George the person that you tasked 13 13 necessarily, but we assumed that a lot of them to get the number? 14 would be paid normally, you know, on a monthly 14 A. George is the person who I tasked to, cycle, and provide us with an overall number 15 you know, to get people to provide us with those that would be a proxy for what the payments 16 numbers. 17 under, you know, if you were assuming many of 17 Q. Right. That's what I meant. Is it 18 those contracts, what those payments estimated 18 George that reported back to you as to what the 19 could be. 19 proxy for the --20 20 A. I don't remember --So that this was an intent. And we told Barclays we couldn't provide them with the 21 Q. Let me just finish. specifics that they were looking for, but we 22 George reported back to you in terms 23 23 of what he thought the proxy for the cure number would try to get them an estimate of, for them and ourselves, obviously, an estimate of what we 24 thought the amount would be that could be paid 25 I don't remember. A. TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Highly Confidential		Highly Confidential
1	Page 66		Page 67
1	M. Shapiro	1	M. Shapiro
2	Q. Was your approach in generating what	2	and what the actual obligation of Lehman was
3	the cure number could be to make certain that	3	throughout the week of September 15th?
4	you came up with a, you know, a large enough	4	A. I don't remember.
5	number to cover any and all contingencies, in	5	Q. Were you involved in any way with what
6	other words, you shot high?	6	I'll call an effort to actually identify what
7	A. No, we were just trying to come up	7	the cure number was as opposed to using a proxy
8	with an estimate. I mean, we knew that we were	8	A. I don't remember.
9	working with imperfect information. We knew	9	Q. Do you have any recollection as to
10	Barclays wanted at least an estimate of and	10	whether anyone continued that effort?
11	everyone understood, I would say, through that	11	A. I don't remember.
12	day and evening that it was an estimate and that	12	Q. If anyone continued the effort, would
13	the reality was that they, you know, it could	13	it have been Mr. Mack?
14	have been low, it could have been high, we	14	A. No. Mr. Mack had the fortuity, maybe,
15	didn't exactly know. We were trying to give	15	of getting married that weekend and so he had to
16	them a fair estimate, to the best of our	16	leave on the 17th to start his plans for his
17	abilities over the course of that 48-hour	17	wedding preparations on Saturday or Sunday. So
18	period, as to what we thought they would	18	he left on Wednesday, and that was the end of
19	potentially have to pay, and recognizing that it	19	his involvement until he came back from his
20	was possible that that could be high, it was	20	honeymoon.
21 22	possible that that could be low.	21 22	Q. When Mr. Mack left, did you give the
23	Q. I understand on Monday and Tuesday as you were trying to come up with this estimate	23	responsibility for what we've been calling the cure number to someone else?
24	you did it in this way you have described, but	24	A. I don't remember. I don't think so.
25	did work continue on identification of contracts	25	I think he he had done what we had done.
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
- 4-14-27-23-1-1-1			
İ	Highly Confidential		Highly Confidential
	Page 68		Page 69
1	M. Shapiro	1	M. Shapiro
2	What we had done I don't think I think I	2	contract, after we signed the original contract,
3	don't think he thought that there was anything	3	but there was definitely stuff, when I say
4	else that he was being asked to do when he left.	4	"stuff," I mean papers prepared that had some
5	If he did, he obviously handed it off to whoever	5	specifics around contracts. I don't remember
6 7	was working with him.	6 7	reading them in detail. Q. Do you know whether the information on
8	Q. To the best of your recollection, was any work done to identify the actual obligations	8	those sheets was sufficient to derive what the
9	of Lehman for the contracts that it had after	9	actual obligations of Lehman Brothers were on
10	the Monday and Tuesday	10	those contracts?
	1110 1110 11111 1 1110 1111	11	A. Since I don't remember what they said,
11	A. I don't know.	1	
11 12	A. I don't know.Q the 15th and 16th of September?	12	I don't know the answer to your question.
		ì	
12	Q the 15th and 16th of September?	12 13	I don't know the answer to your question.
12 13 14 15	 Q the 15th and 16th of September? A. I don't know. Q. And do you know anyone who would know the answer to that question? 	12 13 14 15	I don't know the answer to your question. Q. I had asked you a little while ago about whether someone was dealing with the compensation questions. I think you said you
12 13 14 15 16	 Q the 15th and 16th of September? A. I don't know. Q. And do you know anyone who would know the answer to that question? A. Only those who were potentially doing 	12 13 14 15 16	I don't know the answer to your question. Q. I had asked you a little while ago about whether someone was dealing with the compensation questions. I think you said you didn't have any recollections of that?
12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q the 15th and 16th of September? A. I don't know. Q. And do you know anyone who would know the answer to that question? A. Only those who were potentially doing the work. 	12 13 14 15 16	I don't know the answer to your question. Q. I had asked you a little while ago about whether someone was dealing with the compensation questions. I think you said you didn't have any recollections of that? A. No. No. No. I said I didn't, at the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q the 15th and 16th of September? A. I don't know. Q. And do you know anyone who would know the answer to that question? A. Only those who were potentially doing the work. Q. Did you ever see a stack of, you 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I don't know the answer to your question. Q. I had asked you a little while ago about whether someone was dealing with the compensation questions. I think you said you didn't have any recollections of that? A. No. No. No. I said I didn't, at the point we started this, it wasn't clear to me
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q the 15th and 16th of September? A. I don't know. Q. And do you know anyone who would know the answer to that question? A. Only those who were potentially doing the work. Q. Did you ever see a stack of, you know you put your hands two feet apart. Did 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I don't know the answer to your question. Q. I had asked you a little while ago about whether someone was dealing with the compensation questions. I think you said you didn't have any recollections of that? A. No. No. No. I said I didn't, at the point we started this, it wasn't clear to me who ultimately, Bart obviously was going to
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q the 15th and 16th of September? A. I don't know. Q. And do you know anyone who would know the answer to that question? A. Only those who were potentially doing the work. Q. Did you ever see a stack of, you know you put your hands two feet apart. Did you ever see the run of contracts Lehman had? 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I don't know the answer to your question. Q. I had asked you a little while ago about whether someone was dealing with the compensation questions. I think you said you didn't have any recollections of that? A. No. No. No. I said I didn't, at the point we started this, it wasn't clear to me who ultimately, Bart obviously was going to be dealing with the compensation issue. I would
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q the 15th and 16th of September? A. I don't know. Q. And do you know anyone who would know the answer to that question? A. Only those who were potentially doing the work. Q. Did you ever see a stack of, you know you put your hands two feet apart. Did 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I don't know the answer to your question. Q. I had asked you a little while ago about whether someone was dealing with the compensation questions. I think you said you didn't have any recollections of that? A. No. No. No. I said I didn't, at the point we started this, it wasn't clear to me who ultimately, Bart obviously was going to

23 Bart and Skip McGee and Mark Shafir.

25 the drafting of the provision because I was

And I had a little bit of input into

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

23 something along the way, I'm not sure where it

24 was, like when it was during the course of that

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

25 week, whether it was before we signed the

Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 70 Page 71 1 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro 1 sitting with the lawyers for Weil and Cleary as 2 compensation? 3 they were drafting that provision, making sure 3 A. I didn't personally draft it. I was that it reflected what I was told that the 4 sitting there, we were in a hallway, actually, 5 business deal was, but I would say, to the best 5 and I believe it was -- it was there were two 6 of my recollection, it was Bart, Skip and Mark 6 lawyers, one -- two employment compensation 7 7 Shafir who were on the Lehman side dealing with lawyers, I would call them, one from Weil 8 this. 8 Gotshal and one from Cleary Gottlieb sitting 9 9 MR. STERN: I don't want to interrupt next to each other. They were drafting, having 10 10 your flow, but if there's a convenient things typed, and then reviewing them basically 11 point --11 side-by-side together, and I at one point sat 12 MR. CARDEN: Let's finish the comp 12 down next to them and started reading the 13 13 provisions with them to make sure that I piece. 14 14 understood it, that I could report back to make MR. STERN: In the next ten minutes or 15 so. 15 sure that it was accurately reflecting what I 16 Q. Do you know who was dealing with it on 16 was being told the basic business deal was. 17 the Barclays side? 17 O. Was it your responsibility to 18 A. Again, as I said earlier, the 18 communicate to those lawyers what should be in 19 19 principal face that we were dealing with in the compensation provision in the APA? 20 terms of seeing was Archie Cox and Michael A. I wouldn't say it was my Klein. I don't know who was actually dealing 21 responsibility. I would just say that nobody 22 with that or who they were talking to or -- so I said to me your responsibility is to make sure 23 don't know the answer. that the compensation provision is -- I just 24 Q. And you say that you were involved in 24 took it upon myself to make sure, because I 25 the actual drafting of the provision concerning 25 obviously have legal training and I can read TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 72 Page 73 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro 2 contracts and make sure that I think they are 2 think I was also told what the deal was, and 3 clear, that I read it and communicated to the 3 then the lawyers were off drafting and then I 4 lawyers my input based on what I was being told was basically there to read it from my 5 by Bart, Skip and Mark. 5 perspective and make sure that I was comfortable 6 Q. Okay. Just so I'm clear, I don't want 6 that the deal as explained to me was being 7 to get hung up on the word "responsibility" 7 properly reflected. Since neither Bart nor Mark 8 here. At some point you were told by Bart, Skip 8 and -- well, Skip I think went to law school and 9 9 practiced, but he doesn't function as a lawyer, and Mark what the agreement was concerning 10 I'm probably a closer functionary in that compensation, and you communicated that to the 11 lawyers at Cleary and Weil that did the 11 respect than they were. 12 12 Q. What was your recollection of what the drafting? 13 13 A. Yeah, I'm not -- I don't know -- I deal was on compensation? 14 A. My recollection was that, you know, don't think I necessarily communicated. I 15 15 wasn't the intermediary, if you want to call it the all-in compensation pool that Barclays was 16 that. 16 willing to agree to which would, you know, pick 17 Q. Uh-huh. up all moneys for 2008 that would be paid to 18 A. So I wouldn't say I was the 18 people that were moving over to Barclays, they intermediary that was communicating the message 19 were --20 back to the lawyers. I would say that one of Let me back up. My understanding of 21 21 the principals clearly discussed with Weil the deal was that they were going to make offers 22 Gotshal, maybe Tom Roberts. I don't know the 22 of employment to everybody in the U.S. business, 23 23 answer to your question who at Weil. I believe number one; that they were going to give people that someone on the Weil side was told by a 24 at least 90 days and pay severance to the extent 25 that they didn't keep people around for whatever senior person at Barclays what the deal was, I

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

	Highly Confidential		Highly Confidential
	Page 74	-	Page 7
1	M. Shapiro	1	M. Shapiro
2	reason; that they were going to pay bonuses, you	2	bonuses. Because at Lehman we typically
3	know, they were going to have a bonus pool of	3	received our bonuses in January or February.
4	some amount of money that was going to, as part	4	Q. Anything else that you recall about
5	of this, the overall as part of the overall	5	the deal on compensation?
6	compensation, there was a bonus pool; that they	6	A. Well, the number ultimately was an
7	were going to be paying out of bonus pool an	7	all-in \$2 billion number, which was supposed to
8	amount that was that had some relationship to	8	pick up kind of everything I just described to
9	what Barclays had accrued not Barclays,	9	you. There was also an adjustment mechanism
10	sorry, that Lehman had accrued for 2008,	10	which said something like if 10 percent of the
11	excluding what had been paid out under salary to	11	people didn't come to Barclays, and there was
12	date to that point in time, right?	12	different people, like there was a there were
13	Because as you know, in investment	13	sort of let's call it the more important people
14	banks, the salary portion is, from a for most	14	from their perception, there was a few hundred
15	of the people who are senior executives and	15	people that they truly cared about who really
16	investment bankers, the bonus portion is the	16	drove the businesses. If 10 percent, I believe,
17	most significant portion of compensation. The	17	of those people didn't come and those people's
18	salary is just a very small portion of what	18	comp for 2008 represented in excess of 10
19	people have typically earned.	19	percent, I think they had the right to take that
20	And so Barclays was agreeable to, you	20	out of the pool. So I think there was some
21	know, subject to whatever total number that was	21	adjustment mechanism built into it.
22 23	going to be, to paying some of that.	22	Q. Just so I'm clear, then, so the bonus
24	Q. By when did they have to pay that?	23	pool that was going to be created was going to
25	A. By March 15th of 2009, which was I	24 25	be paid out by March 15, '09, correct? A. Yes.
23	guess the date that they would typically pay TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	23	A. 168. TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	130 Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-3380		130 Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9380
	MI V (100000000000000000000000000000000000	\$	THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF
	Highly Confidential		Highly Confidential
	Highly Confidential Page 76		Highly Confidential Page 7
1	Page 76 M. Shapiro	1	
2	Page 76 M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash?	2	
2	Page 76 M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me	2	
2 3 4	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I	2 3 4	
2 3 4 5	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed	2 3 4 5	
2 3 4 5 6	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract.	2 3 4 5 6	Page 7
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash? A. I didn't have an understanding, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash? A. I didn't have an understanding, no. Q. When you talked to Bart or Mark or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash? A. I didn't have an understanding, no. Q. When you talked to Bart or Mark or Skip about it, did they speak to you about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash? A. I didn't have an understanding, no. Q. When you talked to Bart or Mark or Skip about it, did they speak to you about whether or not it was going to be a cash bonus?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash? A. I didn't have an understanding, no. Q. When you talked to Bart or Mark or Skip about it, did they speak to you about whether or not it was going to be a cash bonus?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash? A. I didn't have an understanding, no. Q. When you talked to Bart or Mark or Skip about it, did they speak to you about whether or not it was going to be a cash bonus?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash? A. I didn't have an understanding, no. Q. When you talked to Bart or Mark or Skip about it, did they speak to you about whether or not it was going to be a cash bonus?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash? A. I didn't have an understanding, no. Q. When you talked to Bart or Mark or Skip about it, did they speak to you about whether or not it was going to be a cash bonus? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 7 REDACTED Q. You were also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash? A. I didn't have an understanding, no. Q. When you talked to Bart or Mark or Skip about it, did they speak to you about whether or not it was going to be a cash bonus?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REDACTED Q. You were also A. Which I was pleasantly surprised at.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash? A. I didn't have an understanding, no. Q. When you talked to Bart or Mark or Skip about it, did they speak to you about whether or not it was going to be a cash bonus? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	REDACTED REDACTED Q. You were also A. Which I was pleasantly surprised at. MR. CARDEN: Let's just mark this and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash? A. I didn't have an understanding, no. Q. When you talked to Bart or Mark or Skip about it, did they speak to you about whether or not it was going to be a cash bonus? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	REDACTED REDACTED Q. You were also A. Which I was pleasantly surprised at. MR. CARDEN: Let's just mark this and then we'll take a break after we just have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash? A. I didn't have an understanding, no. Q. When you talked to Bart or Mark or Skip about it, did they speak to you about whether or not it was going to be a cash bonus? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	REDACTED Q. You were also — A. Which I was pleasantly surprised at. MR. CARDEN: Let's just mark this and then we'll take a break after we just have a few questions concerning it. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash? A. I didn't have an understanding, no. Q. When you talked to Bart or Mark or Skip about it, did they speak to you about whether or not it was going to be a cash bonus? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	REDACTED REDACTED Q. You were also A. Which I was pleasantly surprised at. MR. CARDEN: Let's just mark this and then we'll take a break after we just have a few questions concerning it. I don't believe this has been marked so this is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash? A. I didn't have an understanding, no. Q. When you talked to Bart or Mark or Skip about it, did they speak to you about whether or not it was going to be a cash bonus? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	REDACTED REDACTED Q. You were also A. Which I was pleasantly surprised at. MR. CARDEN: Let's just mark this and then we'll take a break after we just have a few questions concerning it. I don't believe this has been marked so this is the next exhibit, which is 55A.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. Shapiro Q. Was it all cash? A. You know, that was never clear to me and it was a concern to me, actually, that I don't think, you know, was expressed specifically in the contract. Q. Did you have an understanding of whether it was supposed to be all cash? A. I didn't have an understanding, no. Q. When you talked to Bart or Mark or Skip about it, did they speak to you about whether or not it was going to be a cash bonus? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	REDACTED REDACTED Q. You were also A. Which I was pleasantly surprised at. MR. CARDEN: Let's just mark this and then we'll take a break after we just have a few questions concerning it. I don't believe this has been marked so this is the

Highly Confidential	Highly Confidential
Page 78	Page 79
1 M. Shapiro	1
Nos. BCI-EX-00077347 through 77349, marked	2
3 for identification, as of this date.)	3
4 Q. Mr. Shapiro, I show you what he wants	4
5 been marked as Exhibit 55A, and this is the	5
6 contract to which you were just referring, 7 correct?	6 7
8 A. That's correct.	8
9	9
10	10
11 12	11 12 DINATIO
13	12 REDACTED
14	14
15	15
16 17 REDACTED	16 17
18	18
19	19
20	20
21	21
22 23	22
24	24
25	25
TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
Highly Confidential	Highly Confidential
Page 80	Page 81
2 3	2 3
4	4
5	5
6 7	6 7
8	8
9	9
10	10 REDACTED
11 12 REDACTED	11 REDALIEU 12
13 KEVACIEV	13
14	14
15 16	15 16
17	17
18	18
19	19
20 21	20 21
22	22 Q. I'm going to do that right now and
23	23 then we can take a break. Let me show you what
24	has been previously marked as Exhibit 1, which
TSG Penerting Worldwide 877 702 0580	25 is the Asset Purchase Agreement. TSG Penarting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

			Walter 1 200 Control of the Control
	Highly Confidential	2000000	Highly Confidential
	Page 82	OV WOMESTAL	Page 83
1	M. Shapiro	1	-
2	IVI. Snapiro If I can direct your attention to page	2	98. 68 99. × ASI 555 62 10.
3	35, which has the compensation clause about	3	REDACTED
4	which you have given prior testimony.	4	- 20 1000 tar 10 10 107 60 1000 137"
5	A. Okay.	5	
6	Q. If you see paragraph 9(c), the top of	6	
7	page 35, it does make reference to the annual	7	MR. CARDEN: Let's take a break.
8	bonuses being awarded on or before March 15,	8	(Recess; Time Noted: 10:50 A.M.)
9	2009, consistent with your recollection, do you	9	(Time Noted: 11:04 A.M.)
10	see that?	10	BY MR. CARDEN:
11 12	A. Yes, I do.	11	Q. I'd like to understand a little better than I presently do just how you were, I'm going
13		13	to call it say functioning on Monday
14		14	afternoon and Tuesday and describe some of the
15		15	things you were doing, you know, but tell me, if
16		16	you will, you know, how were you actually
17	DENACTEN	17	functioning in this negotiation process, you
18	REDACTED	18	personally?
19		19	A. So I would say that my function was to
20		20	work with initially, my function was to work
21		21 22	with Mark Shafir, Bart on helping to construct a transaction since I was the firm's
22		23	restructuring expert, obviously that expertise
23		24	was required to provide input into how to do
25		25	so, you know, effectively; to help Mark
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	-	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	Highly Confidential		Highly Confidential
	•		Page 85
1	Page 84	77	
1 2	M. Shapiro	1 2	M. Shapiro the drafting of the document, you know, I didn't
3	negotiate points, particularly points that involved anything around bankruptcy matters that	3	leave the firm, so I stayed through the night.
4	were obviously let's call them technical in	4	I was I read every draft of the contract that
5	nature, not something that he had any real depth	5	came out. I provided my comments on the
6	key expertise around; and to I would say help	6	drafting. I sat with both sides.
7	kind of quarterback the entire effort over the	7	There was a lot of what I call, you
8	course of the days.	8	know, typical lawyering that was going on,
9	Again, I wouldn't say that anyone said	10	pretty heated discussions, negotiations on both
10	to me, you know, Mark, please do the following. It was just kind of an expectation that that was	10	sides around lots of different points, and I was trying to find a way to get to commercial
11 12	It was just kind of an expectation that that was my role given the function that I had and given	12	resolution on them so that we could, you know,
13	the team that had been put together by Bart.	13	make sure that we were progressing the deal as
14	Q. You've described how you met with the	14	best we could without getting stuck on what can
15	Weil and Cleary people in that hallway and	15	sometimes be very parochial points.
16	reviewed the compensation provision of the	16	You know, a compensation lawyer might
17	contract?	17	have a particular technical thing that he
18	A. That was just one piece of a much	18	required, and I tried to make sure that we were
19	bigger puzzle, obviously.	19	sort of seeing the forest from the trees, making sure that we weren't getting stuck on what I
20 21	Q. Were there other pieces of that puzzle, other aspects of the APA that you	21	would call very, very minute points relative to
22	reviewed to see if they were consistent with	22	the size of the transaction we were dealing
23	what you were told was the business deal that	23	
24	had been reached?	24	I wouldn't say I was involved in every
25	A. Well, I would say over the course of	25	single discussion by any means, and I and I
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	wee	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
	130 Kehorung - Mondwide 0/1-107-3300		100 Reporting Worldwide 0/1 /02 2000

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

7

8

15

Highly Confidential

Page 86

M. Shapiro

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

22

24

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

20

22

23

24

was involved in direct discussions with Mark, as I described earlier, with Archie and with Michael Klein during the course of the night, you know, middle of the night let's call it of whether you want to call the night of the 15th or the morning of the 16th, on some discrete issues.

Q. Come back to those in a moment. I just want to understand one aspect about how you 10 were functioning.

Did you take it upon yourself in the 13 reading of the various drafts to ensure that what you understood to be the business agreements that had been reached were being memorialized in the document?

17 A. To the best of my ability. I mean, 18 you know, obviously there were a number of us reading the contract, myself. Steve Berkenfeld. Mark Shafir, although I think Mark probably read 21 it less so than me or than Steve.

The lawyers -- obviously, there was a 23 lot of responsibility placed on Weil. So you had, as I said, Mike -- what's his name?

25 MR. STERN: Lubowitz.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 87

M. Shapiro

A. -- Mike Lubowitz, Lori Fife, Shai Waisman so, you know, some of them were obviously reading the contract carefully. Tom Roberts.

So there was a group of people who were all reading the contract to try to make sure that it reflected the business deal or, to the extent that there was a dispute in any way, we were bringing it to the right people to see if it could either get resolved or modified or not.

- Q. Of that group of people you just described, yourself, Steve Berkenfeld, Lori Fife, I think you said Tom Roberts, were all of you kept apprized of the business terms and the negotiations that were being conducted by Bart and Archie Cox?
- A. Well, I would say I don't know how to answer that question exactly when you say the "business negotiations." I'm not -- I don't know exactly what Bart and Archie were negotiating, so I'm not sure --
- Q. Let me ask it a different way. It's an awkward question. I'm just trying to

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 88

M. Shapiro understand what you just said.

When you were reading the contract, you weren't reading it just to see if it legally, you know, conformed with some idea of what the agreement ought to be. You were reading it to see if it conformed with what you understood to be the terms of the business deal, correct?

A. No, I was reading it. I was reading 11 every word of that contract. Truthfully, I viewed this as a pretty, you know, significant responsibility that I had to, you know, to the Lehman estate and to the deal to make sure that it was being done correctly.

Obviously, you know, I had a lot of deal experience, I had drafted those kinds of contracts, I have negotiated those contracts, and so I was probably one of the few people around that had the ability to make sure that not only was the business deal being reflected, but also that I felt comfortable with the legal terms that were in it.

Again, I wasn't playing lawyer there, we had Weil Gotshal to do that, and we had --TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 89

M. Shapiro

and we had, you know, Steve Berkenfeld to do some of that, but I was reading it sort of comprehensively.

- Q. I'm just trying to understand how you came to know the business terms to make certain that the APA was consistent with what deal had been reached?
- 9 A. Sure. So I think what I did was, as I 10 went through the contract, I obviously, you 11 know, looked at it, and on the key -- on the key 12 provisions, I went back to people during the 13 night and made sure that what was in the 14 contract was what had been agreed to.

Q. And was Steve Berkenfeld doing the same thing?

16 17 A. Not really from what I remember. I 18 don't have a perfect recollection of what Steve was doing. Steve was -- Steve was kind of 20 hanging around. He actually went home during 21 the night and got some sleep, so I stayed through the night. He left and then he came 22 23 back. And I said, I'm glad you're back because it's good to have a fresh set of eyes looking at this stuff again, and maybe he came back at 6 or

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

14

15

23

25

Highly Confidential

Page 90

M. Shapiro

7 in the morning.

2

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

3 So I would say that he -- he didn't 4 play -- he wasn't in the negotiations with 5 Shafir and I, with Michael Klein and with 6 Archie, so I can't tell you exactly what Steve 7 did except that I know that he was reading the contract, he was -- he was around the table from 8 9 time to time with the lawyers, and then he 10 ultimately -- you know, again, he was one of the 11 more senior people at Lehman Brothers involved 12 in the deal over that course of those days and 13 he ultimately signed the contract.

Q. Did you have conversations with him concerning deal terms in the contract that you recall?

- A. I probably did. I just -- I don't have any specific like exactly what we were talking about. I think, you know, we definitely of course chatted through the day and night.
- Q. When you said that you were glad to have another or a new set of eyes or fresh set of eyes, did you mean to make certain that the contract was consistent with the business terms that had been agreed upon?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 91

M. Shapiro

A. No, I just meant generally speaking,
he's a lawyer, I wanted to be sure that we
weren't missing anything, you know, and that,
you know, when you're reading a contract at 5 in
the morning, 6 in the morning, if you've been up
all night, you start to get a little tired.

8 So I just wanted to be sure that there 9 were other people besides -- obviously Weil 10 Gotshal, we were trusting them a lot, but from a 11 business perspective, we needed to be sure that 12 whatever we were entering into properly 13 reflected the general deal and didn't put Lehman 14 in a legal position that it had -- wouldn't 15 create any problems for it.

- Q. Just so I'm clear, was anyone other than yourself that you know about reading the contracts and their drafts for purposes of determining whether or not it accurately reflected the business deal that had been reached?
- A. Well, Bart. People were bringing the contract to Bart. I don't know what exactly he read, but I know that people went over all of the key terms with Bart. So clearly Bart was in TSG Reporting Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Highly Confidential

Page 92

Page 93

M. Shapiro

the loop and it's possible that others were.

I just, you know, again, there were -- as drafts would come out, they would have a stack of them and they were being handed out to people, and so I don't -- I'm not privy to all the people who read the contract. I do know that Bart was, you know, provided, you know, with a contract and somebody went through that with him.

- Q. When you had a question as to whether or not the contract comported with what the business agreement had been, did you go back only to Bart? Did you go back to other people?
- A. I didn't really have that many
 conversion, truthfully. It wasn't like I had a
 thousand questions. It's actually a reasonably
 straightforward contract and so it was really
 around sort of, you know, some of the key issues
 that we had to go through the contract for me to
 tell you as we thought about these key issues
 what they were.

But there were only I would call it a
handful of key issues that were in the contract
that were contractual issues as opposed to -- as
TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

M. Shapiro

opposed to diligence and other things that were still going on during that timeframe.

- Q. We'll take a moment and just pass through it here and see if we can identify some of those, but do you recall any of the key issues before we start that process?
- A. I think it'll be easier if we just flip through the contract.
- Q. Okay. Why don't you go through and maybe give me a summary at the beginning of what you consider to be the key issues about which you had some questions.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. And then we'll go through them.
- 16 A. Well, are we talking about key issues 17 that I had questions about or just key issues 18 that had to be focused?
- Q. I'm sorry, I thought you said there
 were only a handful of things that you had to go
 back and ask about those key issues, so I was
 trying to pick up on what you said.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. You tell me.
 - A. I guess -- is there --

	Highly Confidential	Control of the Contro	Highly Confidential
		TOTAL	
	Page 94	-	Page 95
1	M. Shapiro	1	M. Shapiro
2	Q. What I don't need you to do is tell me	2	Seventh Avenue, was there a mortgage right on
3	what the key aspects of the agreement are.	3	it, was there not a mortgage on it. There had
4	A. I guess what I'll what I really	4	been some structured it had been structured
5	meant is I will focus on what I thought were the	5	when it had been put into whatever it had been
6 7	key issues that I needed to make sure I was focused on.	6	put into about maybe a splitting of the building
8	Q. Fine.	8	and the ground lease. I can't recall exactly.
9	A. And if I have a recollection that I	9	Q. Okay.A. But I know that there were some things
10	had a question about it, I will share that with	10	around it, and I did focus on that and told the
11	you as we go through this.	11	real estate person to let's make sure we get
12	Q. Excellent.	12	this right, let's make sure that we understand
13	A. I don't have anything at this minute	13	exactly what we have to convey and that we get
14	until I look at it and see exactly what we're	14	this whole provision right, that we know who the
15	talking about.	15	right seller is.
16	Q. And you're looking at Exhibit 1.	16	I believe, to the best of my
17	A. Correct.	17	recollection, that 745 was an entity that held
18	So we're talking about Article II has	18	some portion of the building in some way, some
19	the really is where it starts after the	19	rights that related to the building.
20	definitions. Purchase of shall purchase, it	20	Q. Now, only because you passed by it,
21 22	says in 2.1, on the terms and subject to the	21 22	I'm going to draw you back to page 6. A. Okay.
23	conditions, purchaser shall purchase, acquire from the seller and 745.	23	Q. I'm assuming that, since you passed by
24	There was some discussion early on	24	it, you didn't
25	about which entity, legal entity held 745	25	A. Well, I didn't look through the
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580		TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580
12. 2.1 <i>100000</i>	опининия высь пинининия выпьяль, и эль эль, чал эльнициинин панинининины понининининининининин голог и валина ини Тура при		THILLA & VI.A.P. MCVINILATION OF THE CONTROL OF THE
l	Highly Confidential		Highly Confidential
	Page 96		Highly Confidential Page 97
1	Page 96	1	Page 97
1 2	Page 96 M. Shapiro	1 2	Page 97 M. Shapiro
	Page 96	1 2 3	Page 97
2 3 4	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention,	2 3 4	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities
2 3 4 5	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the	2 3 4 5	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract?
2 3 4 5 6	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus	2 3 4 5 6	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities
2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that? A. Yes, it definitely was a provision	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were? Q. Let me rephrase that question. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that? A. Yes, it definitely was a provision that I spent time making sure that I tried to	2 3 4 5 6 7	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were? Q. Let me rephrase that question. I phrased that poorly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that? A. Yes, it definitely was a provision	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were? Q. Let me rephrase that question. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that? A. Yes, it definitely was a provision that I spent time making sure that I tried to understand it at the time, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were? Q. Let me rephrase that question. I phrased that poorly. When you read the contract, were you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that? A. Yes, it definitely was a provision that I spent time making sure that I tried to understand it at the time, yes. Q. And were you trying to determine what exactly Barclays was buying and what was being left behind?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were? Q. Let me rephrase that question. I phrased that poorly. When you read the contract, were you aware of what positions in government securities, commercial paper, corporate debt, corporate equity, Exchange-traded derivatives
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that? A. Yes, it definitely was a provision that I spent time making sure that I tried to understand it at the time, yes. Q. And were you trying to determine what exactly Barclays was buying and what was being left behind? A. Most importantly, I wanted to be sure,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were? Q. Let me rephrase that question. I phrased that poorly. When you read the contract, were you aware of what positions in government securities, commercial paper, corporate debt, corporate equity, Exchange-traded derivatives and collateralized short-term agreements were as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that? A. Yes, it definitely was a provision that I spent time making sure that I tried to understand it at the time, yes. Q. And were you trying to determine what exactly Barclays was buying and what was being left behind? A. Most importantly, I wanted to be sure, (A) what was it that we were conveying to them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were? Q. Let me rephrase that question. I phrased that poorly. When you read the contract, were you aware of what positions in government securities, commercial paper, corporate debt, corporate equity, Exchange-traded derivatives and collateralized short-term agreements were as referenced in subparagraph (d) under "purchased
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that? A. Yes, it definitely was a provision that I spent time making sure that I tried to understand it at the time, yes. Q. And were you trying to determine what exactly Barclays was buying and what was being left behind? A. Most importantly, I wanted to be sure, (A) what was it that we were conveying to them. Is it clearly delineated, right? We always want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were? Q. Let me rephrase that question. I phrased that poorly. When you read the contract, were you aware of what positions in government securities, commercial paper, corporate debt, corporate equity, Exchange-traded derivatives and collateralized short-term agreements were as referenced in subparagraph (d) under "purchased assets"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that? A. Yes, it definitely was a provision that I spent time making sure that I tried to understand it at the time, yes. Q. And were you trying to determine what exactly Barclays was buying and what was being left behind? A. Most importantly, I wanted to be sure, (A) what was it that we were conveying to them. Is it clearly delineated, right? We always want to be sure that you know in a contract,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were? Q. Let me rephrase that question. I phrased that poorly. When you read the contract, were you aware of what positions in government securities, commercial paper, corporate debt, corporate equity, Exchange-traded derivatives and collateralized short-term agreements were as referenced in subparagraph (d) under "purchased assets"? A. Okay. So let me try to let me try
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that? A. Yes, it definitely was a provision that I spent time making sure that I tried to understand it at the time, yes. Q. And were you trying to determine what exactly Barclays was buying and what was being left behind? A. Most importantly, I wanted to be sure, (A) what was it that we were conveying to them. Is it clearly delineated, right? We always want to be sure that you know in a contract, particularly when things are moving quickly,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were? Q. Let me rephrase that question. I phrased that poorly. When you read the contract, were you aware of what positions in government securities, commercial paper, corporate debt, corporate equity, Exchange-traded derivatives and collateralized short-term agreements were as referenced in subparagraph (d) under "purchased assets"? A. Okay. So let me try to let me try to answer that in the context of the way things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that? A. Yes, it definitely was a provision that I spent time making sure that I tried to understand it at the time, yes. Q. And were you trying to determine what exactly Barclays was buying and what was being left behind? A. Most importantly, I wanted to be sure, (A) what was it that we were conveying to them. Is it clearly delineated, right? We always want to be sure that you know in a contract, particularly when things are moving quickly, that you're getting it right. And so I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were? Q. Let me rephrase that question. I phrased that poorly. When you read the contract, were you aware of what positions in government securities, commercial paper, corporate debt, corporate equity, Exchange-traded derivatives and collateralized short-term agreements were as referenced in subparagraph (d) under "purchased assets"? A. Okay. So let me try to let me try to answer that in the context of the way things were happening over the course of those days.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that? A. Yes, it definitely was a provision that I spent time making sure that I tried to understand it at the time, yes. Q. And were you trying to determine what exactly Barclays was buying and what was being left behind? A. Most importantly, I wanted to be sure, (A) what was it that we were conveying to them. Is it clearly delineated, right? We always want to be sure that you know in a contract, particularly when things are moving quickly, that you're getting it right. And so I just wanted to be sure that whatever we were putting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were? Q. Let me rephrase that question. I phrased that poorly. When you read the contract, were you aware of what positions in government securities, commercial paper, corporate debt, corporate equity, Exchange-traded derivatives and collateralized short-term agreements were as referenced in subparagraph (d) under "purchased assets"? A. Okay. So let me try to let me try to answer that in the context of the way things were happening over the course of those days. So obviously, as I explained earlier,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that? A. Yes, it definitely was a provision that I spent time making sure that I tried to understand it at the time, yes. Q. And were you trying to determine what exactly Barclays was buying and what was being left behind? A. Most importantly, I wanted to be sure, (A) what was it that we were conveying to them. Is it clearly delineated, right? We always want to be sure that you know in a contract, particularly when things are moving quickly, that you're getting it right. And so I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were? Q. Let me rephrase that question. I phrased that poorly. When you read the contract, were you aware of what positions in government securities, commercial paper, corporate debt, corporate equity, Exchange-traded derivatives and collateralized short-term agreements were as referenced in subparagraph (d) under "purchased assets"? A. Okay. So let me try to let me try to answer that in the context of the way things were happening over the course of those days.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that? A. Yes, it definitely was a provision that I spent time making sure that I tried to understand it at the time, yes. Q. And were you trying to determine what exactly Barclays was buying and what was being left behind? A. Most importantly, I wanted to be sure, (A) what was it that we were conveying to them. Is it clearly delineated, right? We always want to be sure that you know in a contract, particularly when things are moving quickly, that you're getting it right. And so I just wanted to be sure that whatever we were putting in the contract we, you know, we all understood what it was. Q. Okay. I draw your attention to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were? Q. Let me rephrase that question. I phrased that poorly. When you read the contract, were you aware of what positions in government securities, commercial paper, corporate debt, corporate equity, Exchange-traded derivatives and collateralized short-term agreements were as referenced in subparagraph (d) under "purchased assets"? A. Okay. So let me try to let me try to answer that in the context of the way things were happening over the course of those days. So obviously, as I explained earlier, people were trying to understand what was the book of securities that we actually had title to that we could sell to Barclays. In particular,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that? A. Yes, it definitely was a provision that I spent time making sure that I tried to understand it at the time, yes. Q. And were you trying to determine what exactly Barclays was buying and what was being left behind? A. Most importantly, I wanted to be sure, (A) what was it that we were conveying to them. Is it clearly delineated, right? We always want to be sure that you know in a contract, particularly when things are moving quickly, that you're getting it right. And so I just wanted to be sure that whatever we were putting in the contract we, you know, we all understood what it was. Q. Okay. I draw your attention to subparagraph (d) underneath "Purchased Assets"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were? Q. Let me rephrase that question. I phrased that poorly. When you read the contract, were you aware of what positions in government securities, commercial paper, corporate debt, corporate equity, Exchange-traded derivatives and collateralized short-term agreements were as referenced in subparagraph (d) under "purchased assets"? A. Okay. So let me try to let me try to answer that in the context of the way things were happening over the course of those days. So obviously, as I explained earlier, people were trying to understand what was the book of securities that we actually had title to that we could sell to Barclays. In particular, I was pointing out to people that we needed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. Shapiro definitions. I figured it's easier to look at the definitions in the context of a provision. Q. Let me just draw your attention, before we pass beyond the definitions, to the definition of "purchased assets." Did you focus on that? A. Yes, it definitely was a provision that I spent time making sure that I tried to understand it at the time, yes. Q. And were you trying to determine what exactly Barclays was buying and what was being left behind? A. Most importantly, I wanted to be sure, (A) what was it that we were conveying to them. Is it clearly delineated, right? We always want to be sure that you know in a contract, particularly when things are moving quickly, that you're getting it right. And so I just wanted to be sure that whatever we were putting in the contract we, you know, we all understood what it was. Q. Okay. I draw your attention to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	M. Shapiro approximately \$70 billion in securities? A. Yes. Q. Did you focus on what those securities were when you read this contract? A. Did I focus on what those securities were? Q. Let me rephrase that question. I phrased that poorly. When you read the contract, were you aware of what positions in government securities, commercial paper, corporate debt, corporate equity, Exchange-traded derivatives and collateralized short-term agreements were as referenced in subparagraph (d) under "purchased assets"? A. Okay. So let me try to let me try to answer that in the context of the way things were happening over the course of those days. So obviously, as I explained earlier, people were trying to understand what was the book of securities that we actually had title to that we could sell to Barclays. In particular,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Highly Confidential

Page 98

M. Shapiro

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

17

18

19

21

23

1

2

3

18

19

20

part of this.

the firm in the securities area were pulling together information, batches of information about each of the different pools of securities that the firm owned.

As you can imagine, given the fluidity of the situation, people were blowing Lehman out of positions. So it was a very fluid situation in terms of like knowing on a, you know, you could do a photo, you know, photo check on time 1, but by time 3, meaning an hour later, it could have been a different situation.

So what I was telling everybody was 14 let's get as much real-time information as we can around these securities, but everyone was pointing out that it was changing rapidly and the balance sheet was actually shrinking rapidly as things were being taken from Lehman, in other words, the repo positions they had, they were 20 being blown out of securities.

If you recall, the only company that 22 we actually put into bankruptcy was the holding company and most of the securities were held at the broker-dealer, and so that was not still 25 under the bankruptcy yet until maybe Wednesday, TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 99

M. Shapiro

I can't remember when they actually filed it, but it wasn't filed immediately.

And so there were still things happening at the broker-dealer that led to those securities in the different pools potentially changing, through no fault of Lehman, just because the market was -- things were happening in the market on the other side of the other 10 side of Lehman Brothers.

So people were -- I would say this was where you asked me earlier, you know, who was doing a lot of the securities analysis. You know, this is where Bart and Alex and -- Alex Kirk, Jim Seery, Martin Kelly, probably Ian Lowitt, people were very focused on let's figure out what do we have.

You know, Bart was clearly trying to get as much information real-time as he could get so that we could try to understand what did we have the right to convey to Barclays. The last thing that I wanted to see was us telling Barclays we can convey this security to you, finding out we didn't ultimately have it, and having a breach of contract which could let them TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

So I wanted to be sure that if we --

Page 100

Highly Confidential

Page 101

M. Shapiro walk away from the deal.

that we could identify, to the best of our 4 5 abilities under the circumstances, what is it 6 that we thought we could convey to them legal 7 title to. So, in that effort, in that effort, and I guess George Mack was a little bit I think around that effort at some point, one of my 10 analysts, John Grenier was brought in with a 11 laptop who was told, let's start to kind of put 12 together a sheet that might reflect what securities were being told by other people in the firm we owned that we think we can convey, 15 and then what's the funding against those securities that Barclays would have to assume as 16 17

Q. Because that was the offset for the --Barclays was going to take the position, but it also had to take the financing of those positions?

21 22 A. I wouldn't say they had to. I would say the discussion was we have securities, we have financing for those securities, and that's the -- that was, in a sense, the basis for the TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

M. Shapiro 1

discussion. That was obviously a negotiation that took place really I believe between Bart and people at Barclays, ultimately.

O. The discussion as to what assets would be taken and what financings --

A. What liabilities would be assumed in connection with those securities, correct.

Q. When did this Grenier -- I'm sorry, I forgot his first name.

A. John.

Ο. When did John --

13 A. He was only at the firm for three 14 weeks at that point, so he was thrust into an 15 interesting position.

Q. When do you recall him coming into the process to try to assemble this list of positions?

A. Probably Sunday night, I mean like Sunday night late, and then probably starting very, very early in the morning on Monday morning.

O. Okay. So obviously developing this list of security --

A. It wasn't a list like a long list. TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1

12

13

14

15

16

Highly Confidential

Page 102

1 M. Shapiro 2 This was categories of different things that 3 were being identified by other people to him. 4

5

7

11

12

13

17

18

19

2.0

21

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

18

19

20

21

He was basically a scribe with a computer, right? And basically was asked, okay, put this on a piece of paper, show up with a laptop, get up to Bart's office, and let's start to make

8 sure we understand what securities might be able 9 to be conveyed. 10

And it turned out to be clearly a moving process, because as the two days went on, you know, the information that we started with, which was that we believed that Lehman had a hundred billion dollars, roughly, of securities that could be conveyed to Barclays ultimately 15 16 translated down to I believe in the low \$70 million range by 24 to 48 hours later, and then even less as we get further into the week.

Q. At the time that you reviewed the drafts of the APA, did the number 70 billion change in the draft?

- 22 A. I don't remember. I suspect it did, 23 but I don't remember.
 - Q. And at the time obviously this is the signed version, Exhibit 1, do you see that?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 103

M. Shapiro

A. Yes.

Q. At that time was there any schedule of any kind backing up the \$70 billion number?

A. I wouldn't say it was backing it up. I would say in connection with this there was this piece of paper that we were just kind of using as a way to, for all of our purposes, make sure that we knew kind of what we were talking about in terms of people figuring out, okay. what is it that we were buying and they are assuming.

So this piece of paper was created 14 that ultimately got initialed by Berkenfeld, I 15 know, I remember seeing it, that had a list of 16 different securities on the asset side, 1.7 different securities on the liability side. That did change. You know, over the course of 18 19 those two days, there was probably, I don't 20 know, there could have been as many as ten or 21 more iterations of that particular piece of 22 paper, and I was not -- I was not in the middle 23 of drafting that piece of paper, so I can't 24 speak to how it got changed or why it got

Highly Confidential

Page 104

Highly Confidential

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

changed other than the fact that, as the

Page 105

M. Shapiro information came in through the securities people, those numbers got changed.

Q. Who was drafting that document?

Well, as I said, John Grenier was the scribe. "Scribe" meaning he was --

O. I was talking about the document that Steve Berkenfeld initialed.

- 9 A. That's what I'm talking about. That document turned into the document Steve 10 11 initialed.
- 12 O. I didn't understand that. So Steve --13 pardon me. John was working on this document 14 that ultimately became --
 - A. Yeah.
- Q. the balance sheet that was 16 17 initialed by Steve?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. Let's go back to the 70 billion for just a moment. Were the value of those securities based on Lehman's marks?
- 22 A. Well, this says it has a book value.
- 23 So I believe it was based on Lehman's -- as
- Lehman had marked them as of the time that this
- contract got signed, which meant -- the contract

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

M. Shapiro

2 got signed at 10:30 P.M. around on Tuesday -- on 3 the night of the 16th, so I'm presuming that on 4 or about the close of business on the 16th there 5 was a view, and it does say approximately 70 6 billion, so presumably there was a list that was 7 taken that they had obviously different pools of 8 securities in, they had the marks that Lehman 9 had on those securities as of that date, 10 approximately, and that's presumably where this \$70 billion came from. 11

O. Do you know if Barclays was given a discount in the purchase of those assets?

A. I don't know what you mean by a "discount."

Q. Well, the \$70 billion is based, as you 17 said, on Lehman's marks. Do you know whether or 18 not, in selling the securities to Barclays, 19 whether different marks were used?

20 A. No, these -- again, as I recall, this 21 70 billion was a rough approximation of the 22 aggregate book value, you know, based on 23 Lehman's marks, of the asset side of the deal, right? And so I would say, no, there was no 24 discount because obviously it was a book value.

Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 106 Page 107 1 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro 2 Then you had the other side of the 2 those securities; and, two, I assume that, this 3 deal, as you mentioned before, which was the 3 is just my personal assumption, that, you know, 4 liabilities side. My recollection was that the given the volatility of the time we were in 5 liabilities side was lower, that the funding of 5 where the stock market had just absolutely, you 6 these securities, which actually is not a 6 know, so volatile over that course of those 7 surprise because people take haircuts, right? 7 couple of days, and I can't remember exactly 8 People don't lend you necessarily a hundred 8 what happened in those two days, but it was, you 9 9 cents on the dollar against an asset. know, the Friday before I think was bad and a So there was a lower amount of a 10 10 couple of days around it was bad, and I'm 11 liability that was assumed opposite these 70. I 11 obviously -- the world was in crisis. No one, 12 do remember, again, that changed along the way, 12 no one knew where the next day's marks might 13 right, in the terms of like -- but it was 13 have to be given the fact that people were 14 always, it was always, to the best of my genuinely worried that if Lehman toppled over recollection, somewhere between one and a half 15 completely and all these securities might be put 16 and two billion dollars of differential between 16 into the marketplace, what those marks might be. 17 17 the amount of liabilities that were being So I just had a presumption that a assumed in connection with these securities by 18 negotiation was taking place, you know, really Barclays versus the amount of assets that were 19 between Bart and the people on the securities 20 book-valued by Lehman Brothers under the 20 side and Barclays over what they're prepared to schedule, which kind of made sense to me because 21 assume, assuming that there was -- that they 22 if they were, you know, if they were taking a 22 were, on their side, building some cushion in 23 23 matched book (A) you're going to always have for volatility that they could, you know, by the small -- some amount of haircut, right, against 24 Monday morning they wake up and all of a sudden 25 what people were willing to lend you against 25 they find these securities are worth 20 percent TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 109 Page 108 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro less. So that was just my presumption. I don't 2 2 to read through this. know if that's actually what happened. 3 3 O. I'm here all day. MR. STERN: Take your time. Q. Are the financing to which you are 4 4 MR. CARDEN: Jack is looking quite 5 referring that were being assumed by Barclays 5 6 6 referenced on page 12 in paragraph 2.3I? relaxed and comfy over there. 7 7 MR. STERN: Take your time. MR. STERN: Objection to the form. 8 8 A. I am taking my time. I'm just telling Can I just -- can you read the question 9 9 you I have to read this contract. again? 10 10 So, as we go through 2.3, I'll just (Record read.) 11 MR. STERN: You can answer. 11 tell you what I spent some time I remember on. Q. Okay. 12 A. Yeah, that's my general understanding, 12 13 13 is that this "I" provision was the offset to the A. To the best of my recollection. provision that we just went through, and so you 14 So 2.3(b) has liabilities of Seller had 70, approximately 70 in that provision, 15 under the purchased contracts arising after the 16 approximately 69 in this provision. That 16 date of such entity. So that was obviously a 17 17 provision that was attached to the provision reflects what I just told you before. 18 dealing with what contracts we might assume and 18 Q. All right, now I interrupted you. You 19 assign, and they were agreeing that they would were just starting through the provisions of the 19 20 APA that you had focused on. 20 pick up any liabilities from and after the date 21 21 A. Yeah. of this contract under those purchased 22 22 Q. And I drew you back to the definition 23 of "purchased assets," so I'm going to turn you 23 There was some discussion, because we 24 were giving them 60 days to decide whether or 24 25 A. This could take a while, if I'm going not they would take any of these contracts,

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

2

3

14

21

Highly Confidential

Page 110

M. Shapiro

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

19

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

right, there was some discussion about whether kind of who would be responsible during this 60-day period for the contracts because you were going to clearly accrue administrative expenses during the case if you hadn't vet made a decision.

So just, you know, let's take a simple example of a, you know, a computer contract, computer -- if they had decided that they didn't 11 need the computers that were there and they terminated whatever lease or whatever else there was around those computers, during the 60 days 13 14 that we were giving them to make a decision to assume or assign, the counterparty to that contract -- and there was thousands of these things -- had a right to administrate a priority claim under the bankruptcy code, right?

18 I wanted to be sure that they were picking up those liabilities so that, even if 21 they decided not to take the contract, they were still responsible for whatever arose after the contract so that the estate wouldn't be responsible for that. There was some discussion 25 about that, and after, you know, to-ing and TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 111

M. Shapiro

fro-ing with them, they ultimately agreed to do that. I do remember that one.

Maybe we can -- the next provision that I'm looking at is 2.3(c), which is "all Liabilities assumed under Article IX," Roman IX, and maybe we should skip that until we get to that because that obviously has some of the compensation provisions that we need to talk 10 about.

Q. Okay.

A. I definitely was, as I mentioned earlier, involved in trying to make sure that that properly reflected the deal.

14 On 2.3(f), the provision that says, 15 "All other Liabilities to the extent related to 16 17 the Business, the Purchased Assets or the

18 Transferred Employees," there was some general 19 discussion I remember certainly the lawyers of

20 trying to iron out this. And frankly, you have 21 to -- I think you have to look at this provision

22 and read this with the Excluded Liabilities

23 provision, because while this says certain 24 things and the Excluded Liabilities provision

25 says notwithstanding what's said here, certain TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 112

M. Shapiro things are excluded. So they have to kind of be read hand-in-hand, so I do remember talking about like what were the things related to the business that would or wouldn't be included.

Obviously you have the provision you pointed out before, (i). There was a lot of discussion about how to properly reflect that. Specifically, Mike Lubowitz wanted to be sure that he accurately reflected what this meant as people thought at the time. I would say that it was intended to mean the liabilities associated with the assets from a funding standpoint.

Q. The assets that were being acquired?

A. The assets that were being acquired, correct.

And there were shorts positions. One of the things this says is includes short positions. You could, in theory, have had a short position that was unrelated to the asset side, right?

Q. Right.

A. So I don't know the specifics of that. 2.4(c) makes it clear that the purchaser was required to pay the cure amounts TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 113

M. Shapiro

that were required under 2.5. I remember trying to make sure that we had that right.

4 There was some discussion under 2.4(d) 5 about, you know, how would medical claims work, 6 people who were coming across from Lehman to 7 Barclays, you know, what, you know -- and I think they basically took the position like they are not assuming anything at all, whatsoever, as 10 it related to Lehman employees kind of personal, 11 medical, anything that arose pre-petition, that 12 they -- it would start fresh when you moved over 13 to Barclays.

Q. Okay.

15 A. I was just trying to, you know, 16 understand it really so that I could explain to 17 people kind of what was happening when this deal closed from a personal standpoint in terms of 18 19 what people would have rights to and not have 20 rights to.

Q. Okay.

22 A. That would be 2.3. 2.5, you know, I 23 probably, you know, oversaw this provision, if 24 you want to call it that, right, in terms of 25 how, you know -- I negotiated the 60 days, TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 114

M. Shapiro

2 worked with the lawyers to make sure we had this 3 right. I think it's pretty -- actually, I think 4 it's very straightforward.

They had the right to, you know, designate contracts, and if they designated the contracts, we had the obligation to have it assumed and assigned to them and they had the obligation to pay the cure costs.

- Q. Okay. Now that you're on page 1, I'm going to just interrupt your review and ask you a general question so I can budget our time for the rest of our day.
- A. Okay.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

24

- Q. I realize that you were involved quite 16 closely with the APA. Were you also involved with any documentation of the transaction as it changed through the week, the clarification 19 letters, for example?
- 20 A. I was definitely not involved with the 21 clarification letter from a documentation standpoint. As I recall, on that Friday, there was still, you know, a lot of -- there was still a lot of concern as to whether Barclays was going to actually finish the deal, actually

Highly Confidential

Page 115

M. Shapiro

close the deal, you know, and I remember there 3 was a lot of discussions happening in Bart's 4 office about what securities they would actually buy, what could we convey to them, you know, in 6 terms of what did we know that we had the right 7 to convey to them. The size of the balance 8 sheet had been shrinking during the course of 9 the week given the volatility of the market and people blowing Lehman out of positions and 10 11 valuations coming down.

12 There was this confluence of all 13 different things happening that were changing the nature of the securities that was described 14 in that 70/69 million dollars set of provisions, 15 and so I would say I was in Bart's office during 16 17 the morning of Friday going through the contract 18 with him and with the lawyers, Tom Roberts, and 19 like walking him through all the provisions and 20 probably --21

Q. The provisions in the clarification letter or the APA?

- A. No, the provisions in the APA.
- 24 O. Okav.

22

23

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

A. I don't know when the clarification TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 116

some point on Friday during the day, you know, I 3 4 don't know if it was maybe sometime in the 5 morning, I think it was determined that Barclays 6 was not going to take -- or, that the securities 7 that were described previously in this APA were not necessarily the same securities that could be conveyed to Barclays given the change in

letter actually started to be drafted, but at

M. Shapiro

everything that had happened between the time that this contract had been presented to the 12 court and Friday when we were actually showing

13 up in court.

14 So it needed to reflect the actual deal, and as I understood it, there were discussions between Bart and Barclays and other 17 people who worked with Bart -- I was not privy 18 to those discussions directly -- that Barclays 19 would end up taking the repo book that Barclays 20 had been told by the Fed to take over on 21 Wednesday during, you know, during the time

22 right before the Asset Purchase Agreement 23 stalking horse hearing.

Barclays again had, to the best of my 25 knowledge, had been told by the Federal Reserve TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 117

M. Shapiro

Bank and the treasury that they had to take over that repo book which the government had taken over Sunday night.

Q. Sunday night, the 14th?

A. Sunday night, the 14th.

So, again, I don't know exactly what transpired that led to that decision ultimately, except surmising that things had changed a lot factually in terms of what securities Lehman Brothers had the right to deliver.

And so there was definitely a business understanding, as I understood it when I left the firm to go to the hearing, which was probably -- I probably left around between 3 and 4 o'clock to take the subway downtown.

Q. On Friday?

A. On Friday to the hearing. 18 19 Bart was still -- Bart was -- Bart

20 had -- Bart left about the same time and was 21 heading to Weil Gotshal to be prepped for the 22 hearing, and there was an agreement, as I 23 understood it, that they were going to take the

24 assets and liabilities that really were relating to the repo book which had the same, you know,